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**Introduction**

The text which follows is a translation from the Russian original commissioned by Osprey from the distinguished Soviet archaeologist Dr. E. V. Cernenko, of the Archaeological Institute of the Academy of Sciences of the Ukrainian SSR. The acting head of that institute’s Scythian Department, Dr. Cernenko has been active in the excavation of Scythian tombs for many years. The colour plates by Angus McBride are based upon reconstructions prepared for this book by Dr. M. V. Gorelik of the Oriental Institute of the Academy of Sciences of the SSSR, Moscow. Much of the written and pictorial material in this book is published here for the first time in the West.

Although little known to non-specialists in this period and region, the Scythians were a dominant influence in South-East Europe and the Middle East for more than three centuries, being roughly contemporaneous with the Classical Greek world. They were the first of the great armies of horse-archers out of the East which were to have such an impact upon the consciousness of Europe at intervals over about 2,000 years. Yet they were not, like the Huns and Mongols, a Turco-Mongolid race, but straight-featured Indo-Europeans: we know this from surviving pictorial metalwork, and from the few scraps of their language which come down to us through the Greek historians.

Their mastery of the horse and the bow raised them from the obscurity of nomadic stock raisers of the steppes to the status of a major military power. At different periods they brushed—hard, and bruisingly—against the Assyrians, the Medes, the Persians, and the Macedonians. In the centuries of their greatness they ruled a huge area of what is now the Soviet Union; interestingly, they seem to have retained their nomadic ways, while establishing an apparently stable relationship with the vassal communities of settled farmers from whom they took tribute in a systematic way.

Although they left no written record, we know more of the habits and appearance of the Scythians than we do of many more recent cultures. The southern part of their range met the northern limits of the Greek world in the Greek trading cities along the north shores of the Black Sea; and it was here that Herodotus, ‘father of history’, gathered the impressions of them which are still today our major written source. Here, also, they encountered skilled Greek metalsmiths. It is our good fortune that these violent, colourful, hard-drinking barbarians had a great love of decorative work in precious metals; a great wealth of such metals; the good taste to commission Greek master-craftsmen; and funerary customs which have preserved these precious relics for the study of the historian.

The Editor

The Scythians lived in the Early Iron Age, and inhabited the northern areas of the Black Sea (Pontic) steppes. Though the ‘Scythian period’ in the history of Eastern Europe lasted little more than 400 years, from the 7th to the 3rd centuries BC, the impression these horsemen made upon the history of their times was such that a thousand years after they had ceased to exist as a sovereign people, their

Sketch of the contents of a Scythian warrior’s burial mound opened in the Nikolaev region. Dating from the 5th century BC, the tomb contains a complete suit of scale armour, including a helmet and leggings: cf. Plate D.
Comparative chronology of principal events in Scythian and Greek history

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scythians</th>
<th>Greeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>c.3200 BC</strong></td>
<td>Horse domesticated in southern Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c.1500 BC</strong></td>
<td>Steppes inhabited by semi-nomadic horse-breeding tribes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Early 7th C. BC</strong></td>
<td>Cimmerian and Scythian conquest of Urartu; Scythian activity in Middle East recorded in Assyrian texts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>670s BC</strong></td>
<td>Scythian king Partatua fights Assyria, marries daughter of Assyrian ruler Esarhaddon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mid-7th C. BC</strong></td>
<td>King Madyes leads Scythian expedition to borders of Egypt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c.652-626 BC</strong></td>
<td>Period of Scythian influence in Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>612 BC</strong></td>
<td>Medes and Scythians capture Nineveh and destroy Assyrian Empire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Late 7th C. BC</strong></td>
<td>Medes drive Scythians north of Caucasus into north Pontic area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Early 6th C. BC</strong></td>
<td>Scythian philosopher Anacharsis travels in Greece</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c.514-512 BC</strong></td>
<td>Scythians repel Persian invasion of south Russia under Darius the Great</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c.496 BC</strong></td>
<td>Scythian expedition to Chersonesus in Thrace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Late 490s BC</strong></td>
<td>Scythians negotiate alliance against Persia with Spartan king Cleomenes I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mid-5th C. BC</strong></td>
<td>Reign of King Scyles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c.350 BC</strong></td>
<td>Beginning of Sarmatian expansion into Scythian territory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>339 BC</strong></td>
<td>Scytho-Macedonian war: King Athelas killed in battle with forces of Philip II in Romania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>330 BC</strong></td>
<td>Alexander’s general Zopyrion is routed by Scythians near Olbia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>310-309 BC</strong></td>
<td>Scythians defeat Caucasians at Thasis River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c.200 BC</strong></td>
<td>Scythians gradually withdraw into Crimea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>110-106 BC</strong></td>
<td>Scythians defeated in Crimea by King Mithridates Eupator of Pontus (Bosphoran kingdom)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>519 BC</strong></td>
<td>Athens and Plataea defeat Thebes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>511 BC</strong></td>
<td>Spartan campaign against Athens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Early 5th C. BC</strong></td>
<td>Writings of Aeschylus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>490 BC</strong></td>
<td>Battle of Marathon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>480 BC</strong></td>
<td>Battles of Thermopylae and Salamis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c.450 BC</strong></td>
<td>Herodotus visits trading colony at Olbia and records description of Scythians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c.437 BC</strong></td>
<td>Pericles sends expedition to Black Sea area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>338 BC</strong></td>
<td>Philip II defeats Athens and Thebes at Chaeronea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>323 BC</strong></td>
<td>Death of Alexander the Great</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
heartland and the territories which they dominated far beyond it continued to be known as ‘greater Scythia’.

From the very beginnings of their emergence on the world scene the Scythians took part in the greatest campaigns of their times, defeating such mighty contemporaries as Assyria, Urartu, Babylonia, Media and Persia.

The ancient Greek historian Herodotus recorded that Cimmerian tribes had inhabited the Black Sea steppes before the Scythians. Then came the Scythians, and conquered the Cimmerians. Pursued by the Scythians, Cimmerian nomads crossed the Caucasus and spread into the countries of western Asia; and the pursuing Scythians, led over the mountains by their king Madyes, defeated the Medes they found in their path.

Early in the 7th century the Scythians moved against Assyria. The official records of Assyria are highly selective, giving much space to Assyrian victories but remaining silent about Assyrian defeats. Fortunately, a more balanced picture can be built up by comparing various surviving sources—not only the official record, but also the reports of spies, and the questions put by Assyrian kings to oracles when seeking advice.

After a period of warfare between the Scythians and Assyrians the politically skilled Assyrian king Esarhaddon succeeded in winning peace with them, for a time, by the presentation of rich gifts, and by marrying off his daughter to the Scythian king Partatua. The Scythians’ attentions were diverted towards Palestine and Egypt. A Biblical prophet referred to the Scythians as ‘the ancient, mighty people whose language is hard to understand. They are always courageous, and their quivers are like an open grave. They will eat your harvest and bread, they will eat your sons and daughters, they will eat your sheep and oxen, they will eat your grapes and figs.’ Only by paying heavy tribute did the Pharaoh Psammetichus I (reigned 663–609) save his country from Scythian invasion.

From Egypt the Scythians returned to Assyria, and in the period c. 650–620 BC Media, one of the richest states of the ancient East, fell steadily under their influence. In 612 BC a Scytho–Median army finally captured Nineveh and overthrew the Assyrian Empire.

Herodotus says of the Scythian dominance of Asia: ‘The Scythians ravaged the whole of Asia. They not only took tribute from each people, but also made raids and pillaged everything these peoples had. Once Kiakasr and the Medians invited the Scythians to a feast, and killed them.’ This suggests that the Scythian leadership were annihilated by treachery. At any event, the bulk of the nomad army drifted back north of the Caucasus at the end of the 7th century.

Much remains unclear, however, about the campaigns of the Scythians in the Middle East. It is not known whether they came south as disorganised nomad bands of plunderers, each following the tales of rich pickings which may have drifted back in the wake of the first bands to make the journey; or as a unified people with a disciplined ‘state’ army. We are also ignorant of the extent to which they returned to the Black Sea steppes, or remained in the Middle East.

Undoubtedly, they learned a lot from contact with the progressive civilisations of the Middle East. In the realm of warcraft, they learned how to fight effectively against cavalry and infantry alike, how to fight mounted and dismounted, and how to take well-fortified cities by storm. Bravery and a warlike nature alone would not have enabled them to defeat powerful and sophisticated ancient empires.

Of great importance, obviously, were the weapons and armour which enabled the warrior to strike down his enemy while protecting himself and his horse. The complex of Scythian war-gear was formed, by experience and by imitation, during their great campaigns in the Middle East; before this period the Scythians did not use defensive armour. Our knowledge of their weapons and armour comes from their funerary customs. Scythian dead were buried in barrow-mounds (‘kurgans’), and the warrior was accompanied on his journey into eternity by the possessions which were most important to him in life. Rich finds of weapons and armour of many kinds have rewarded the excavation of Scythian barrows, including the tombs of many Scythian women. The grave of a common warrior usually contained a bow and several dozen arrows, and a pair of spears or a spear and a javelin. Royal tombs often yield whole arsenals of defensive armour, helmets, swords, quivers of arrows, dozens of spears, and—in the early period—large numbers of horse skeletons.
Leather and metal fragments of a 5th century Scythian cuirass, from the Sem'Bratiev barrow in the Kuban. The small drawing at top right is a reconstruction of the armour; note that it overlaps to the left side of the breast. It is thought that the mask-medallion would have been worn centrally on the breast.
Defensive Armour

Flexible leather corselets covered with small overlapping scales of bronze or iron were worn in the ancient Middle East from about the middle of the second millennium before Christ. Quickly recognising the advantage of a corselet proof against most sword and spear-thrusts, the Scythians experimented until they found the most efficient method of arranging the overlapping ‘fish-scales’. Remaining in use for thousands of years, the scale corselet ranks as a milestone in the development of the art of war alongside the discovery and harnessing of bronze and iron weapons, gunpowder and artillery.

The Scythians applied the same basic method to other defensive armour. They covered helmets, shields, girdles, and fabric clothing with small metal plates, in contrast to the Middle Eastern smiths, who limited the use of scale to corselets. Scythian armourers cut the scales from sheet metal with a pointed tool or shears; several dozen were needed to fashion a long-sleeved corselet. They were attached to a soft leather base by thin leather thongs or animal tendons; each scale was set in such a way that it covered one third or one half of the width of the next scale sideways, and the row of plates overlapped the one below it, protecting the stitching where it was exposed in holes through the metal. A spear or arrow thus had to penetrate up to three or four basic scale thicknesses at most points on the surface. Despite this excellent protection, the corselet did not greatly hinder the movements of the mounted warrior; only ring-mail gave greater ease of manoeuvre.

These corselets, which gave the Scythian riders protection from the earliest period of their military greatness, varied in details of design. Some light-weight types had metal scales only around the neck and upper breast, or only on the front surface. Generally the corselet resembled a short-sleeved shirt entirely covered with scales; we know of only a few examples with long sleeves. The importance of shoulder protection in a mounted combat was reflected in many cases by the addition of a doubled yoke of scale-work across the upper back and extending forward over the shoulders to the sides of the breast. Ease of movement was preserved by making the corselet from different sizes of scales: small plates were used at elbow and shoulder, so as not to hinder arm movement, while the back and abdomen were covered with fewer, larger plates. As a rule the scales were of one metal only, usually iron; but we know of examples of corselets with different areas fashioned from iron and bronze: the spectacle must have been magnificent, as polished bronze glittered in the sun against the lustreless iron background. Finds from royal and noble tombs include corselets with each scale covered with fine gold leaf, and bronze scales decorated with figures of lions, deer, or elk heads.

The process of armour development was not entirely straightforward, however; and helmets provide us with an example of changing styles and materials. In the 6th century BC Scythian warriors wore heavy cast-bronze helmets, fitting tightly to the skull and protecting it part way down the face by means of cheek-pieces which left cut-outs for the eyes, and giving good protection to the back of the head. Many such helmets have been found in the Northern Caucasus, particularly in the Kuban area, where the most ancient graves have been discovered. (We may speculate that noblemen who took part in the Middle Eastern campaigns were buried here.) This style is popularly termed the ‘Kuban helmet’.

From the 5th century onwards helmets of scale construction began to replace the ‘Kuban’ type. The pointed leather (‘Phrygian’) caps or hoods, commonplace among the Scythians, provided the model: they were covered with overlapping metal scales, and often had added cheek-pieces and neckguards, a nasal being the only important element missing. Easy to make, they gave reliable protection against sword cuts. These helmets were in quite widespread use.

It was also in the 5th century that, among Scythian noblemen, the Greek helmet began to be worn; more than 60 bronze helmets made in Greece have been found in the richer Scythian barrows. These light, strong, beautiful pieces are generally of Corinthian, Chalcidian or Attic type.

The use of Scythian leg defences, of leather covered with metal plates, seems to have been at least partly replaced during the 5th century by Greek-style metal greaves. These may have been limited to heavy cavalry only. The armoured horseman of the 6th and early 5th centuries was
Part of an elaborately embossed Greek breastplate, from an armour discovered in a 4th century barrow in the Kuban. It is clear that there was much contact between the Scythians and the Greek communities on the northern Black Sea coast even before the rise of Macedon brought the two powers into confrontation.

characterised by iron-faced leggings; his successor of the late 5th and 4th century, by greaves worn over fabric trousers. Prosperous leaders sometimes had gilded greaves; and a superb pair found about 150 years ago and now in the Hermitage, Leningrad have Gorgon heads on the knee-pieces and pairs of snakes, tail down, worked down the sides. Our colour plates show some examples of Greek helmets and greaves, originally heavy infantry items, modified for use by Scythian heavy cavalry.

The Scythians placed importance on the shield and its decoration. While ordinary warriors seem to have used light shields of woven osiers—e.g. the example on the famous Solokha comb—the heavy cavalry carried more massive shields faced with iron. The classic construction was a wooden base faced with scales of iron, sewn to each other and to the backing with wire. There is evidence of some use, presumably by the richer nobles, of shields completely faced with single, round iron plates, with applied decorative motifs of other metals. (It is thought that the scale-faced shields may also have borne such decorations on occasion.) Two gold decorative plates, more than 30cm long, were found in the graves of early Scythian noblemen at Kostromskaya Stanitsa and Kelermes in the Kuban; the former is in the shape of a deer, and the latter, a panther, in the ‘animal style’ so typical of the Scythians. A bronze fish motif has also been found in a grave not far from the famous Tolstaya Mogila site, and a gold deer—showing strong Greek influence—in the royal tomb at Kul Oba.

Another major category of Scythian defensive
armour is the girdle, of leather faced with strips of iron, bronze, or even silver or gold. Typical of the early period, and of dismounted warriors whose abdomens were not protected by the neck of the horse, these broad girdles often had several rows of scales or strips. Narrow belts for the slinging of swords, daggers, battleaxes, bows and quivers, whetstones and whips were also worn by the Scythians. The defensive girdle declined in size with the passage of time, and was eventually 'absorbed' into the increasingly common corselet.

Protection for the horse took several forms. Since the 6th century BC metal plates and pendant decorations on the bridle helped to protect the horse’s head and cheeks. There is evidence to support the use in some cases of a leather horse-cloth with attached metal scales, and a thick felt ‘apron’ for the breast, in which the enemy’s arrows became stuck without penetrating.

‘Kuban’-type Scythian bronze helmet found in the 6th century barrow at Kelermes (Stanitsa Kelermesskaya). See Plate A for reconstruction. Note the holes for attachment of an aventail; the raised ribs all round the lower edge and part way round the back of the skull; the raised flange at the brow, and the reinforcement running centrally over the skull to the point between the eyes.

Offensive Weapons

For long-range fighting the Scythians used bows and slings; at intermediate range they employed spears and javelins; and for hand-to-hand combat, swords, axes, maces, and daggers.

Bows and Arrows

Every Scythian had a bow and arrows; all male graves contain great numbers of arrowheads, and so do about one in three of all female graves, and many children’s graves. Arrowheads are found in the tombs of king and humble rider alike. The bow and arrows accompanied the Scythian from cradle to grave, and beyond; it is clear that the Scythians believed that the dead would need them to hunt and fight in the world beyond. Scythian arrowheads can be found all over the Eurasian steppes, and the Scythian style of bow was in widespread use throughout Eastern Europe and Western and Central Asia.

Sadly, the materials used to make bows—wood, bone and animal tendons—perish easily, and only a few out of the 5,000 or so known Scythian graves contain identifiable remains of bows, and those so poorly preserved that it is almost impossible to reconstruct their original appearance with confidence. Luckily we can also study sculptural evidence, pictorial metalwork from grave finds, and the descriptions of ancient authors.

Surviving written descriptions compare the unstrung, recurved Scythian bow with the Greek letter sigma (Σ), or the Black Sea coastline. Ammianus Marcellinus wrote: ‘While the bows of all peoples are made of flexible branches, Scythian bows . . . resemble the crescent moon, with both ends curved inwards’. The middle of the bow is described as a regular curve. This description corresponds well with the bows depicted on gold and silver bowls found in the graves of Scythian kings at Kul Oba and Voronetsi. Only the grips and ends of bows have been preserved, the latter having decorative bone tips in the graves of some noblemen. Judging by pictures and the length of the arrows, the bow was quite short—up to 80cm; but as with all examples of the classic Eastern ‘composite’ bow, the

LEFT:
Greek, Thracian and Macedonian helmets, and fragments of helmets, all recovered from Scythian burial mounds. More than 60 have been found in Scythian burials, some in original condition and some apparently modified by local smiths; see Plate E. The three columns on the left are Attic, Chalcidian, and perhaps remains of Corinthian types; those on the right are Thracian, as favoured by the Macedonians—though note interesting Thracian/Boeotian composite.
mounted archers who took part in most engagements, one can only imagine the hail of deadly arrows which fell among their enemies. The penetrative force of the arrows was also considerable. Some graves yield human skeletons with arrowheads embedded in the skull or spine to a depth of two to three centimetres. Many pictures on ancient cups and vessels show warriors in corselets pierced by arrows, or hoplite shields similarly penetrated. Many authors of antiquity wrote that Scythian arrowheads were poisoned.

The Scythian bow was extremely stiff and powerful, and great strength and skill were needed to string it. According to Herodotus, Heracles left his bow to his sons when he set out from Scythia, saying that only the son who could string it as he did would rule the Black Sea steppes. The youngest son, Scythes, succeeded, and Herodotus wrote that the race of Scyths was descended from him. This legend may explain why scenes showing the shooting of the bow, or the passing of a bow from one warrior to another, or the stringing of the bow occupy a prominent place in Scythian art.

The bow was carried in a special case slung from the belt on campaign, and was only removed for battle or the chase. In the pre-Scythian period, when the northern Black Sea steppes were inhabited by the Gimmerians, a case of simple form was used. The Scythian case, called by the Greeks a gyrtos, held both the bow and up to 75 arrows; sadly, no complete example is known to survive, as only rotten fragments of leather have been found in graves. We know, however, that its length was two-thirds that of the bow, and that the quiver section had a metal-clasped cover. Early Scythian tombs yield many bronze, bone, and even gold buckles.

The quiver section was often covered with an ornate gold facing plate decorated with figures of deer; but since the plate covered only a part of the gorytos, finds in early graves do not show us the complete form of the case in this period. Only in the 4th century BC did it become the fashion to face the whole gorytos with metal plates. The first find of this type was unearthed from the Chertomlyk royal tomb site. This large gold plate is covered with plant motifs, animals, figures of men, women and children in Greek clothing, items of furniture, weapons, and even architectural structures. For decades opinions differed about the significance of these
illustrations; but it has now been established that they represent scenes from the *Iliad* of Homer, in particular the visit of Achilles to the island of Skiros, and the story of how this was discovered by Odysseus.

For nearly 50 years the Chertomlyk gorytos remained unique; then, three more gold facings were discovered, identical to the Chertomlyk example. It is apparent that a master metalsmith, possibly Greek, set up a workshop in one of the Scythian centres, making a series of gold plates for covering the gorytos to the order of Scythian kings and nobles. Many must have been stolen from graves and melted down; doubtless many more remain in the ground, awaiting discovery.

Quite recently an interesting find was made which proves the existence of another series of gold gorytos used by prosperous leaders. A very rich Macedonian royal grave, which had somehow escaped plundering, was found at the site of a small Greek settlement called Vergina. Amongst other items the archaeologists unearthed the remains of a gorytos which was identical to one found in a Scythian mound in the Northern Caucasus nearly 100 years ago. The Scythians combined bowcase and quiver was not used in Greece and Macedonia; how could this undoubtedly Scythian gorytos have found its way into the grave of a Macedonian nobleman—perhaps even Philip II himself?

Shortly before this interment, negotiations took place between Philip of Macedon and the king of Scythia; they broke down without agreement being reached, and war broke out between the Scythians and Macedonians. In 339 BC the 90-year-old Scythian king Atreas was killed in battle with the Macedonians, who captured rich trophies. Apparently the gorytos found in the Macedonian grave was either part of the ritual exchange of gifts at the time of negotiation, or war booty. This example, and that from Karagodevashk in the Northern Caucasus, have similar forms to the Chertomlyk gorytos and to other finds from Scythian barrows; both show the assault and looting of a city, believed by scholars to be a representation of the fall of Troy.

Two different Greek graves recovered from barrows on the Kerch peninsula. The beautiful workmanship of these exactly proportioned defences is obvious even from these simple sketches. The graves split down the back centrally, and were held to the calf purely by the spring of the thinly-beaten metal. It is not hard to see why the work of Greek armourers appealed to the Scythian nobility.

Various decorative plates and studs from Scythian belts, both everyday and ceremonial, recovered from burial sites of the 6th to 4th centuries. The Scythian love of animal motifs, the so-called 'feral' style of ornamentation, produced wonderfully vigorous images which remind us of the similar but distinct Scandinavian and Germanic styles of a thousand years later.
Scythian women gave birth to a younger generation of men, who determined to rise against the warriors upon their return from Media. They dug a deep moat from the Taurus Mountains [the Crimean Mountains] to the Maeotic Lake [the Sea of Azov]; and when the returning warriors attempted to cross the moat the young men attacked them. The elder Scythians were at first unable to defeat them. Then one warrior exclaimed: "What are we doing? By fighting against them we deplete both our own forces and the number of our slaves. Let us drop our spears and bows and take up whips. Seeing us weapon in hand, they imagined that we were our equals, and of the same noble origins. But when they see us with whips instead of weapons they will understand that they are only our slaves, and will not be able to resist us". The Scythians followed this advice, and the slaves, astonished, forgot about fighting and took flight. Thus the Scythians returned to their homes.'

Apparently, the Solokha gorytos shows an episode from the early stages of the battle between the veterans and the young men.

It is notable that although the use of the quiver, for arrows alone, was very widespread in the ancient Middle East, no single example among the dozens of surviving sculptures and pictorial metal objects showing Scythians includes a quiver; the combined bow and arrow case is universal.

The sling seems also to have been a popular weapon among the Scythians, and many graves contain several dozen sling-stones – in one case, as many as 75.

**Swords and Daggers**

The sword and dagger also play an important part in the culture of the Scythians. The ancient Greek traveller, historian and geographer Herodotus, whose notes taken during a visit to the Black Sea region in the 5th century remain our major written source, wrote that ‘Ares, the God of War, was the only deity whom the Scythians worshipped and to whom they built altars’. The war-god embodied the fortune of war. Every region of Scythia had a large mound made of brushwood. 'An old iron sword was placed on top of each mound. That was an altar to the God of War, to whom more sacrifices were offered than to any other god. Every year they brought cattle and horses to these swords. From
among captured enemies they sacrificed every hundredth man. First a libation of wine was poured over their heads. Then they cut their victims' throats and collected the blood, and carried it to the top of the mound and poured it over the sword. At the foot of the altar they cut the right arm and shoulder from the body, and tossed them in the air, each arm being left to lie where it fell. The trunks lay separately."

Herodotus’s story was confirmed by the archaeological excavations near Zaporozhye. It was hard for the Scythians to make the mounds of brushwood demanded by tradition, living as they did on the almost treeless steppes. Mounds of sand were raised instead; and it was one of these which was discovered near Zaporozhye, surrounded by grave-mounds dating back to the 4th century. The altar itself was at least a hundred years older, since the sword found at its top dates from the 5th century.

The origins of the Scythian sword are still not entirely clear, but mounting material evidence points towards the weapons carried by their predecessors on the steppes, the Gimmerians. By late in the 7th century the form of the Scythian sword was established. In its earliest examples it has a two-edged, almost parallel-sided blade tapering at the point, about 60-70cm long; though a single example of a huge sword with a blade one metre long, dated to the 6th century, has been found in the Crimea. Daggers, of similar shape, were generally 35-40cm long.

The most ancient finds come from the late 7th/early 6th century mounds at Melgunov and Kelermes. The two swords are very alike, differing only in secondary details: so much alike, indeed, that they may have been modelled on the same standard pattern, perhaps even in the same smithy. Each is decorated with thin gold plates fixed round

Detail from the Solokha comb: note the evident mixture of Greek and Scythian war-gear worn by the mounted warrior, who has a Corinthian helmet, and muscled greaves sprung over his Scythian trousers. The cuirass and girdle are Scythian. The crescent-shaped shield is a common image in Pontic art; the footsoldier who bears it wears highly decorated clothing, a sword interestingly and unusually slung on the left hip in the position normally occupied by the gorytos, and what seems to be the typical Scythian cap.
the hilt and scabbard, on which fine geometrical patterns and animal forms are stamped. The animals are both real—deer, goats, lions and mythical—various combinations of the goat, lion, bull, fish, and human archer. Both swords feature a scene of winged gods standing around a sacred tree. It is quite obvious that the ornamentation has no uniformity of style. The craftsman’s manner is a quaint mixture of different styles from Urartu (in present-day Armenia), Assyria and Media. Some of the animal forms decorating the scabbards, e.g. the deer and mountain goat, were later to become typical of Scythian art, however.

These swords were brought back by the Scythians from their conquests in the Middle East and Asia Minor. It is natural that local smiths, producing goods to the order of their Scythian
occupiers, should blend the artistic styles of different cultures into a curious whole.

With the passage of time, the Scythian sword changed shape. The 5th century saw the paralleled blade replaced by an elongated isosceles triangle with a continuous taper down its whole length; and in the 4th century single-edged blades appeared beside the double-edged. During the 5th century the pommel changed from a simple crossbar shape to a more complex fashion, with two ‘talon’ of iron rising and curling inwards. In the course of the 4th century the pommel tended to revert to a simpler oval shape; the grip, too, changed, from a cylindrical to a double-tapered or oval shape much more convenient to the hand. The guard took on a triangular shape, with a sharp, curved indent in the centre of the bottom edge. The accompanying photographs illustrate these points more clearly than words.

The scabbard was made of wood covered with leather throughout Scythian history. It hung from the belt by a thong passing through its projecting ‘ear’, and various sculptural finds indicate that it was worn well forward on the right side of the abdomen. Some of our colour plates show how this might have worked in practice for a horseman.

A curious find was recently made in a grave-mound at Belozerka near Zaporozhye, unlike anything previously recorded by archaeologists. The tribesmen who were burying their comrade 23 centuries ago had made a deep, narrow hole in the floor of the barrow, and had inserted a sword into it point downwards, the pommel being barely visible above the floor-level. The sword was a ‘dress’ or ceremonial one, its hilt and scabbard sheathed in thin gold plate. On the scabbard were illustrations of predatory animals clawing their prey—a popular Scythian motif. A lion and a griffin pounce on a deer; two panthers race alone, wiry and menacing. On the protruding ‘ear’ of the scabbard is a superb head of a wild boar, the Greek letters ‘ΠΟΠ’ cut in its forehead.

The interest lies not only in the curious method of burial, but also in the fact that similar scenes are found on the sword from the famous barrow of Kul Oba, excavated nearly 150 years ago on the outskirts of Kerch. The ornamentation of the Kul Oba scabbard has much in common with the Belozerka find; while the ‘ears’ have different motifs, the main five-figured scenes are literally identical, having been embossed into the plate with the same stamp. (The Belozerka sword is shorter than that from Kul Oba, which explains why some scenes from the latter are missing from the former.) This appears to be convincing proof of the existence of armours’ shops on the north coast of the Black Sea, probably in Panticapaeum or some other city of the kingdom of Bosphorus, which then ran along the coast of the strait and peninsula of Kerch.

Until recently it was thought that the great majority of Scythian swords were short; but increasing numbers of finds of longer blades have changed our view of Scythian tactics, since long swords would naturally allow a greater tactical flexibility in the use of mounted men against both infantry and cavalry.

Spears, Javelins and Axes

Many spears and javelins were used by the Scythians, and one or two are found beside almost every buried warrior. Some barrows contain much greater numbers: more than ten were found in the Scythian royal barrow near Berdyansk on the Sea of Azov.

Until recently historians believed that the Scythians used only short spears or javelins, which could be either thrown or wielded in close combat; this stemmed from the simple fact that Scythian burial chambers are too short for a longer weapon. That a spear might be broken before being laid in the grave did not occur to anyone until attention was drawn to the relationship of points and shafts as they were found lying in a number of tombs. It has now become clear that some of the Scythian spears are more properly termed lances, since they were more than three metres long and obviously intended for mounted combat.

Shorter spears, about 1.7–1.8 metres long, were used both for throwing and for thrusting, and from pictorial evidence on funerary finds in rich Scythian burial mounds it is clear that they were used equally for war and for the chase. Thrown by a trained hand, such spears could kill or wound at ranges up to 30 metres. Spearheads of both long and short types come in various forms, usually leaf-shaped, with a central spine for added strength and a socket for the shaft. Length varies greatly, from 30 to 72cm, and the longer heads were presumably for
easier penetration of armour. Ferrules from the butt ends of shafts have also been found.

Javelins intended solely for missile use, or 'darts', had heads of an entirely different form. They had a long iron shank with a small pyramidal head, sharply barbed, and were clearly designed to make it hard to withdraw them from a wound or a pierced shield.

Nearly a hundred iron battleaxes of various types have been found in the burial mounds excavated in former Scythian territories. One magnificent specimen was recovered from the famous Kelermes barrow, covered entirely with gold plate except for the narrow 'tomahawk' blade. The ornamentation combines a number of styles. The blade has engraved forms of mountain goats and deer; more goats adorn the head, and the gold-covered shaft presents a stunning pattern of mingled figures of real and mythical beasts, birds and insects.

Elegant maces with lobed heads served not only as weapons but also as symbols of authority. A fine specimen was found in the Solokha barrow. The accompanying photographs illustrate this and the other types of weapons described above.

To summarise, one can state with confidence that the range of high-quality weapons developed in Scythia's period of greatness covered the whole spectrum of pre-gunpowder armament, and equipped her warriors for every type of mounted and dismounted combat against every kind of enemy. Throughout the subsequent history of arms, only the sabre and the ring-mail body-armour represent types not already introduced and mastered by the Scythians—and there is even some evidence that they made use of ring-mail.

Most Scythian weapons and armour were made by native smiths, using great quantities of local or imported iron and bronze, and working them to a high standard of craftsmanship. For the richest members of Scythian society, magnificent ceremonial weapons were made by Greek armourers in the trading cities along the north Pontic coast, combining Scythian styles of decoration with Greek craftsmanship in silver and gold to produce genuine masterpieces of the metalsmith's art. Safe within the burial mounds of their owners, these richly decorated weapons, cuirasses and shields have survived the ravages of the centuries to adorn the

Decorative and defensive bridle fittings recovered from Scythian barrows of the 5th to 4th centuries BC.

LEFT:
The 6th century Kelermes mound yielded this shield decoration in the shape of a lioness or panther; and the deer came from the Kostromskaya burial. Of solid gold and more than 30cm long, these pieces are superb examples of the Scythian animal style.
world’s finest museum collections. Scythian achievements in weaponry are thought to have had a considerable influence on the development of arms in neighbouring lands. The Greeks of the Pontic colonies adopted the full range of Scythian weapons in preference to their own, and Scythian-made weaponry has been found thousands of kilometres to the north, west and east of Scythia, as far as the Arctic Circle, Germany and Mongolia.

The Scythian Army

It is difficult to reconstruct the organisation of the Scythian army. Written sources confirm its division into cavalry and infantry, and this is not contradicted by archaeological data. Cavalry was the principle arm of the Scythians, as was typically the case among nomadic societies. Herodotus and Thucydides put it in a clear-cut way, stating that each Scythian warrior was a mounted archer. On the other hand, Diodorus Siculus wrote that in one particular battle the Scythians fielded twice as many foot as horse. This is not surprising, in fact; for Diodorus was dealing with events of the late 4th century, when the gradual transition from nomadic to sedentary life among the Scythians was becoming marked; and it should also be noted that the majority of the combatants in the battle he describes were drawn from areas where this process was especially advanced.

Throughout early Scythian history the overwhelming majority of the men were mounted; infantry consisted of the poorer Scythians, and levies from those settled tribes whose territory was now dominated by the Scythians. Commoners from these vassal tribes, which were obliged to provide military service, served on foot, and their more well-to-do leaders in the cavalry.

The bulk of the cavalry was probably made up of lightly-armed warriors, protected by no more than fur or hide jackets and headgear. The shock force of the Scythian host was the professional, heavily-armed cavalry commanded by local princes. Both horses and riders were well protected. They fought in formation, under discipline, and brought to the battlefield considerable experience of warfare. The engagement opened with a shower of arrows and sling-stones, followed at closer range by darts and javelins. The heavy cavalry then charged in close formation, delivering the main blow on the centre of the enemy’s array. They were certainly capable of manoeuvre in battle, breaking through the enemy ranks, regrouping in the thick of the action, and changing direction to strike at the right place at the right time. When the enemy had been broken the lightly-armed mass of the Scythian horse closed in to finish them off.

Almost the whole of the adult population of Scythia, including a large number of the womenfolk, fought on campaign. It is impossible to estimate the numbers of soldiers Scythia could put into the field simultaneously; Scythian kings themselves wished that they knew. Herodotus tells us of King Ariantes, who attempted to establish the numbers of his subjects by ordering every Scythian, on pain of death, to bring one arrowhead to the muster. So many arrowheads were brought that he decided to have a monument made of them. A bronze vessel cast from the melted-down metal was reputed to contain 600 amphorae, with walls six fingers thick; at the standard Attic measure, this represents 23,400 litres (5,200 gallons).

Thucydides wrote that the Scythian army was larger than a 150,000-strong Thracian tribal host;

A gold decorative plaque showing Scythian archers, from the 4th century Kul Oba burial. Note fur-trimmed jackets, decorated trousers, and short boots. The top-knot hairstyle (cf Plate B) is unusual in Scythian pictorial work. The short, sharply recurved bows are accentuated here.
1: Scythian king, early 6th C. BC
2: Urartian nobleman, early 6th C. BC
1: Scythian warrior, late 6th/early 5th C. BC
2: Scythian warrior, 4th C. BC
3: Thracian warrior, 4th C. BC
1: Armoured warrior, 5th C. BC
2: Armoured warrior, late 5th/early 4th C. BC
1: Scythian king, late 5th/early 4th C. BC
2: Armoured nobleman. 4th C. BC
1: Sindo-Meotic nobleman, 5th C. BC
2: Scythian nobleman, 5th C. BC
3: Scythian noblewoman, 4th C. BC
1: Scythian king, 4th C. BC
2: Scythian queen and prince, 4th C. BC
3: Royal bodyguard, 4th C. BC
and that not one people in Europe or Asia could resist the Scythians unaided, if the Scythians were ‘all of one will’. This comment draws attention to the traditional nature of nomadic tribal societies, whose strength is normally fairly widely dispersed in more or less independent groups, and which can seldom if ever bring their whole strength into the field together. Against this, one must remember that Scythia was a military state, whose entire social structure was geared to the needs of war; one might borrow the words of the mighty Persian king Darius the Great about the Persian nation, and term the Scythians ‘a people at arms’.

The Persian Invasion

More than a hundred years had passed since the Scythians returned to the steppes north of the Black Sea and the Caucasus from their conquests in the Middle East. All veterans of those campaigns were long dead of their wounds or old age, and laid to rest under their grassy barrows; but in the fortresses and towns of Armenia, Syria, Palestine, and even Babylon, the terrible memories of their war-cries and their whistling arrows were still green. In Scythia, old warriors recounted their grandfathers’ glorious feats of arms in faraway lands to the young.

Bronze Scythian arrowheads from a 4th century burial near Kiev. Note the long single barb on three of these pieces.

Bloods gathered round them. In an oral society the names of kings and the exact order of events would fade gently into legend; but the legend would live on.

But now the half-forgotten past threatened to strike back, and dark clouds gathered over the broad plains of Scythia. In more than one hundred years of her vigour she had invaded many neighbouring lands; now, it seemed, Scythia would pay in her turn. A formidable enemy was preparing to invade the Pontic steppes, claiming justification in the wars of long ago. The enemy was none other than the Great King of Persia, Darius I Hystaspes of the Achaemenid dynasty.

Towards the end of the 6th century BC Darius I had managed to create a mighty state, the most powerful nation on earth at that time. His empire stretched from Egypt to India. Preparing for future conquests, he increased his power by introducing a number of reforms, and created a strong army. Since the Greek city-states stood in his path westwards, they would be conquered. But before he could move against them the empire’s northern marches must be made safe from possible new inroads by the nomads of the steppes the Scythians, and their neighbours the Sauromatae.

We owe most of what we know of the events which
This magnificent gold vessel from the Kul Oba royal tomb shows many details of Scythian costume. This warrior is stringing his bow, bracing it behind his knee; note the typical pointed cup or hood, long jacket with fur or fleece trimming at the edges, decorated trousers, and short boots tied at the ankle. The hair seems normally to have been worn long and loose, and beards were apparently worn by all adult men.
followed to Herodotus and to other ancient Greek and Roman authors.

Before invading Scythia the Persians carried out a reconnaissance in force. Ariaramnes, the ruler of one of the satrapies, led northwards a fleet of 100 ships; he landed on the Scythian coast and probed inland, taking many prisoners among them, blood-brothers of the Scythian king. This evidence of Darius's warlike intentions was soon confirmed. Herodotus tells us that he sent messengers to his vassal states, with orders to contribute levies to the army and the fleet, and to build a bridge across the Hellespont, which was known as the Thracian Bosphorus at this period. Within a relatively short time he mustered forces estimated by Herodotus at 700,000 men, and by other authors at the even more fantastic figure of 800,000. The real number was certainly much smaller, as was the number of ships—600, if one is to believe Herodotus. Nevertheless, though we cannot venture an estimate of our own, this was certainly one of the greatest armies of antiquity.

Crossing the bridge of boats over the Hellespont, this enormous force broke through the resistance of the Thracian tribes without difficulty. By the spring or summer of 512 BC Darius had reached the Danube, which was also bridged by anchoring ships across its span. The mighty army of invasion began to roll across to the left bank of the river onto Scythian territory. Initially Darius planned to destroy the bridge behind him, adding the ships' crews and the bridge guard to the bulk of his army; but his advisers persuaded him to leave it intact. Instead, writes Herodotus, he took a leather thong and tied 60 knots in it, and gave it to the commanders of the rearguard. He ordered them to untie one knot each day after the army had marched. If he did not return by the time all the knots were untied, the rearguard was to sail for home; but until that day came they were to guard the bridge at all costs.

The Scythians were well aware of the menace which threatened them, and knew that they could not defeat such an overwhelmingly superior force in

Another face of the Kul Oba vessel shows two warriors conversing, both holding spears or javelins. The gorytos is clearly indicated on the left hip of the bare-headed spearman; his companion's shield is interesting, perhaps representing a plain leather covering over a wooden or wicker base.

RIGHT:
This third view of the Kul Oba vessel shows a warrior, his gorytos exposed to us, binding a wounded comrade's leg.
Gold gorytos facing plate from the 6th century Kelermes barrow, with a repeat pattern of deer motifs in the Scythian style. At this period only part of the gorytos was faced with decorative plates, and the disintegration of the leather structure leaves us with only an imperfect idea of the overall shape.
open battle, or at least, not unaided. The council of leaders turned to neighbouring tribes for help, sending out messengers to spread word of the danger, and to point out that the Persians would not be content with conquering Scythia alone. But their neighbours’ opinions were divided, and most refused to form an alliance. They justified this by pointing out that the Persians were apparently responding in kind to the Scythian invasions of the previous century. They declined to make enemies of the Persians in such a cause, though determined to defend themselves if the invaders proved to have wider ambitions than punishing the Scythians.

Since even those forces which were promised to them would arrive too late to form a united front against the rapidly advancing Persians, the Scythians had no choice but to fight on their own. At this time Scythia was divided into three separate kingdoms; the largest tribe provided the supreme ruler, King Idanthrysus, and his subordinate kings were named Scopasis and Taxacis. Each led the host of his own tribe. At a council of war they agreed that it would be madness to oppose such a superior army in open battle, and that they must play for time, hoping to wear the Persians out and forcing them to react to their strategy. They started to withdraw in the face of the invader, filling up wells and springs and burning off the grass as they went. The women, children and old people trekked north, driving the herds with them. Only the warriors and young women—who fought as equals—remained on the steppe.

The host was divided in two. The more mobile part, led by King Scopasis, was to head for the Danube to meet the invaders half way. They were to avoid direct contact, and to move east, keeping a day’s march (perhaps 30 or 35 kilometres) ahead of the Persians, scorched the grazing and driving off the game as they went. The bulk of the Scythian army, led by Idanthrysus and consisting of his own and Taxacis’s troops, was to retreat parallel to the Persians and on their northern flank. Their two-fold task was to keep the enemy from turning towards the northern refuge of their people, and to channel the Persians always towards the burnt-out, waterless plains. The Scythians’ goal was to let the enemy wear himself out on an exhausting pursuit-march.

Gold facing plate for a gyrtyos recovered from the 4th century royal burial at Chertomlyk. The decoration, in the Classical style, shows an incident from Homer’s ‘Iliad’: the visit of Achilles to the Isle of Skyros. Produced by a Greek craftsman to Scythian order, this piece has a border frieze of beasts and monsters of the kind found on so many surviving artefacts.
across the steppes, and to attack him if a good opportunity arose.

We know from Herodotus that the Persian invasion force was mostly infantry, with some cavalry and a considerable train of baggage. The Scythians were all mounted, until perhaps the last stages of the campaign when footsoldiers of neighbouring peoples came to their aid. The weapons of the two sides were similar; the Scythians were probably the better archers, given their reputation as the finest bowmen of their time, and this gave them an obvious advantage in exactly the kind of running fight which they planned.

The Persians began their long march eastwards into the Scythian heartland. Their progress was slow. Historians and archaeologists have not agreed as to what route they followed, or how far they finally penetrated. Our principal source is not of great help on this point: Herodotus writes that the Scythians fell back through the territories of the tribes which had refused to help them, hoping to involve them in the war, thus implying that the Persians covered about 5,000 kilometres in only 60 days. This is clearly impossible, and the advance of a basically infantry army over such a distance would have taken three or four times as long even at a forced pace. There were several major river barriers—the Dnieper, Southern Bug, Inigul, Ingulets, Dniepr, Don, and many smaller rivers. Besides the problems of terrain, the Persians were constantly in danger—and towards the end of their march, the very present danger—of harassing attacks, and were short of food, water and forage.

The Scythians kept falling back, refusing to give battle. By the time they reached the steppes north of the Sea of Azov, the leather thong left with the commander of the Danube bridge guard had lost one third of its knots. There seemed to the Persians to be no end to the campaign, and its goals were as far out of reach as ever. Not only were the Scythians undefeated; they grew stronger every day, as they fell back towards new forces joining them from the east. They had everything the invaders lacked—food, water and forage.

At last Darius decided to halt, and build a large fortified camp on the northern coast of the Sea of
Azov somewhere in the vicinity of the modern town of Berdyansk. His purpose is not clear. He might have planned to leave a strong garrison to pin down the enemy in that area while the mass of his army continued east; or he might have intended to wait for his fleet to bring up provisions by sea. In any event, the construction of the camp was never finished. Its walls were only half-built when Darius pulled out for some reason, and resumed his pursuit of the Scythians. Although the decisive battle he craved still eluded him, he had already lost a considerable number of men in skirmishes. It was then that Darius did something he had never done before. He sent a messenger to King Idanthrysus.

‘Strange man’, he said, ‘why do you continually run away, when given the choice? If you think yourself strong enough to contend with me, then stop roaming, and turn and fight. If you confess yourself to be weaker than I, you will have to stop anyway, and open negotiations with your ruler, bringing him earth and water as symbols of submission’.

Herodotus reports that Idanthrysus replied in these words: ‘I have never run away for fear of any man. I am wandering, as I always wander in time of peace. You ask why I did not fight you at once. May I remind you that we have neither cities nor cultivated land of our own; since we are not afraid of our territory being ruined and plundered, we had no reason to fight you outright ... Not will we, until we see fit. Instead of earth and water, I will send you other gifts, of the kind you deserve; and you will pay me dearly for calling yourself my ruler’.

Idanthrysus kept his promise. The Scythians now began to adopt more aggressive tactics, harrassing and waylaying Persian foraging parties. The Scythian horsemen dominated the Persians in these skirmishes, sending Persian cavalry fleeing back in disorder into the ranks of their own infantry. Idanthrysus had now committed the bulk of his personal forces, and detached Scopasis's horsemen westwards on an important mission. Since they had

Gorytos facing plate recently discovered in the Macedonian royal tomb—alleged by some to be that of Philip II—near the site of Vergina, northern Greece. This 4th century relic bears decoration which is believed to depict the fall of Troy.
now begun to believe that the enemy could be defeated, the Scythians determined to cut them off by destroying the Danube boat-bridge. Scopasis reached the Danube, and parleyed with the Greek vassal force who guarded the bridge. It is reported that he accepted an assurance that the Greeks would dismantle the bridge, but rode eastwards again without waiting to see it done, and that the Greeks broke their word and kept the bridge intact.

Now Idanthyrsus sent Darius the gifts he had promised: strange gifts for the great king—a mouse, a frog, a bird, and five arrows. Darius chose to interpret these as meaning that the Scythians accepted unconditional surrender: they were offering him their land (for mice live in the earth and eat grain, like men); their water (for frogs live in water); their horses (represented by the bird, symbolising the means of their freedom and their most valuable possession); and were laying down their arms before him (the arrows). A courtier interpreted the message in a different way, however: ‘If you Persians do not fly away like the birds, or hide in the earth like mice, or leap into a lake like frogs, then you will never see your homes again, but will die under our arrows.’ The continuing course of the campaign soon convinced Darius that the second interpretation was the right one.

One day he received word that the enemy seemed about to offer him the decisive battle upon which his hopes rested. Even though his army was weakened and tired out, he was still confident in its ability to overwhelm the Scythians in pitched battle. The adversaries ranged themselves for combat—but it soon transpired that far from seeking battle, the Scythians had thought of a new way to show their disregard for the great king. As the armies faced one another, a frightened hare started up from the grass between the battle lines—and the Scythians horsemen whirled away to pursue it. The message was unmistakable to the Persians: ‘These people hold us in utter contempt.’ Darius decided, at long last, to salvage his army while he could still escape from the boundless steppes of Scythia.
One night fires were banked high in his camp; the wounded and those unable to travel fast were left behind, with the tale that the army was going out to force the Scythians to battle. But instead Darius led a forced march back towards the Danube, abandoning his wounded and all his train in an attempt to reach the bridge while he still could.

A second Scythian attempt to persuade the Greeks to destroy the bridge seemed to have succeeded, but it was only a feint; the bridge was only opened by the distance of a bow-shot. The Persians and Scythians missed one another in the darkness of night, and the next morning Darius found with relief that his means of escape was still intact. He led his surviving forces into safety, leaving a large number dead on the steppes without ever having come to battle.

The victory over Darius brought the Scythians a reputation for invincibility, which is confirmed in many Greek and Roman accounts.

**Scythian Warcraft**

Ancient sources offer us only the most scarce and general information on the conduct of war by the Scythians. Even less is known about the turbulent 5th century than about the Persian invasion. One thing is clear, however; the Scythians expanded their influence westwards and north-westwards. A sign of this is the famous cache of arms found near Witaszkowo in Poland; these are probably the grave-goods of a leader killed in the assault on a fortress there, and laid to rest with a panoply of which a sword, a golden fish decoration from a shield, and richly decorated horse-trappings survive.

A little more is known about Scythian campaigns against the Thracians. After Darius was driven back with shame, the Scythians started to press their western neighbours, and continued to do so throughout the 5th and 4th centuries BC. The events of the second half of the 4th century are the most interesting; it was at this time that Macedonia began to grow strong under the leadership of Philip II. Thrace found herself between the devil and the deep blue sea: on her west, Macedonia, and beyond the Danube, Scythia. The high king of the

The highly ornamented sword, its hilt and scabbard faced with stamped gold plate, found in the 6th century Kelermes burial; this is one of the two oldest Scythian swords known. Note the straight-sided grip and simple crossbar pommel. The protruding "ear" is pierced for the slinging thong.
Seythians, the aged and cunning Atheas, had long wished to add the lands of the Thracians to his range. The long diplomatic struggle for influence in Thrace ended in 330 BC when the 90-year-old king was killed and his army defeated by the Macedonians.

Yet this defeat, though bitter, could not have ruined Seythian power. Only nine years later Zopyrion, a general sent by Alexander the Great, invaded Seythia with 30,000 men with the goal of conquering Seythia’s ally Olbia. He met with utter defeat, and his army left its bones on the steppes near Olbia. To our frustration, no details have come down to us of the course of these two battles or the tactics used.

The only more or less detailed account of a battle fought by the Seythians comes to us from Diodorus Siculus, who describes events on Seythia’s eastern borders in the late 4th century BC. In 310–309 BC Seythia took a part in the conflict between heirs of the Bosphoran king, Paerisades. The throne went to the king’s eldest son Satyrus, but his brother Eumeles contested the claim. Fleeing from the capital, Panticapaeum, he took refuge with the Thaetaeans who lived along the Kuban River in the Northern Caucasus. The

Gold-covered scabbard of the decorated ceremonial sword from the 6th century Melgunov barrow, and detail of upper part. Note the mixture of decorative styles, combining Pontic feral motifs with others immediately reminiscent of the Middle Eastern cultures invaded by the Seythians at this period.
Thataeans had been made vassals of the Bosphoran kingdom not long before, and seized this opportunity to free themselves. They sided with Eumeles, and their king, Aripharnes, led a large army of 22,000 horse and 20,000 foot to resist the pursuing forces of Satyrus.

Satyrus had an impressive army himself, with 20,000 Greek and as many Thracian mercenaries; it is likely that the Greeks were equipped as hoplites, and that the Thracians were lightly-armed peltasts. The bulk of the Bosphoran army, however, was made up of Scythians—10,000 horse and 20,000 foot—since Scythia had long maintained ties with the kingdom of Bosphorus. Note the ratio of horse to foot in the opposing armies; in Satyrus’s force, 1 to 2, and in the Thataean army, 1 to 1. No other army of Classical antiquity is recorded as having such numbers of cavalry; even Alexander the Great, who paid great attention to his mounted arm, never fielded more than 1 to 5 or 6 cavalry to infantry. This high cavalry content, at times exceeding the infantry, characterised the armies of northern Pontic peoples in the Scythian period.

Satyrus fielded a total of 34,000 men and Eumeles and the Thataeans 42,000; apart from his overall superiority, the pretender had 20,000 horse to the Scythians’ 10,000. From what we know it seems that the weaponry of each side was largely identical, though archers were probably more numerous among the Scythians.

The Scythians marched up-country into the Thataeans’ territory, and were obliged by the lack of forage to take with them a train of several hundred wagons. When they reached the River Thatis they found the enemy drawn up on the far bank to receive them. Satyrus made a bold decision: he succeeded in crossing the river, made a fortified camp out of his wagon train, and drew up his troops immediately in front of it. He stationed Greek mercenaries, presumably in their usual phalanx formation, on his right flank, supporting that wing with Thracian peltasts and a troop of Scythian horse. Another detachment of the cavalry and infantry held the left wing. In the centre Satyrus led his select shock-troops: the bulk of the Scythian horse, including the heavily-armoured elite.

Diodorus has little to tell us about the Thataean order of battle. On the whole it was similar to that of the enemy: Eumeles was on the left flank with a

The battle of the River Thatis, 310 or 309 BC. (I) The armies ranged for battle. (II) Satyrus leads the Scythian heavy horse in a successful charge on the Thataean centre, while his right wing gives way before the enemy cavalry led by Eumeles. (III) After putting the enemy centre to flight, the Scythian horse regroups and swings round to take the Thataean left wing in the rear.
force of cavalry to engage the Greek and Thracian mercenaries, and infantry faced infantry on both wings. Aripharnes was in the centre with the bulk of his cavalry, headed by heavy units.

Both sides suffered heavy losses as soon as the battle began. At first Eumeles enjoyed some success on the left flank, and the Greeks and Thracians wavered. In the centre the Bosphoran king led his Scythian horse forward, smashing Aripharnes’s cavalry in a short meeting engagement; they penetrated the enemy’s second line, and soon put them to flight. The final blow was delivered by Satyrus leading the Scythians in a charge into the rear of Eumeles’s command, ending his temporary advantage on the left wing and routing his forces. The surviving Thracian troops fled, and took refuge in a fortress.

This battle underlines the high combatant value of Scythian horse, achieved by firm discipline and the indisputable authority of its leaders as much as by the individual skills of the warriors. The Scythian cavalry managed to retain its cohesion after breaking through the enemy lines; regrouped in the thick of the battle; and decided the day by a second charge in another direction at a second body of the enemy. Very few armies of antiquity were capable of that manoeuvre.

The 4th century BC marked the peak of Scythian prestige, and the beginning of a steady decline. Gradually the Sarmatian tribes, who were related to the Scythians, began to cross the Don and encroach upon their territory. The Scythian range shrank, year by year, for reasons which are lost to us. For some time they lingered in ‘Scythia Minor’ the area of the lower Dniepr and the Crimean. They yielded the northern Pontic steppes to the Sarmatians; and two hundred years after their victory on the Phasis River they disappeared altogether as a significant force in history. They leave us the mystery of their rise and their fall; and a haunting legacy of superb metalwork, chased with vigorous and beautiful images from the days of their barbaric splendour.

The Plates

Commentary by Dr. M. V. Gorelik

A1: Scythian king, early 6th century BC
This reconstruction of a Scythian king late in the period of the Middle Eastern invasion is based on finds in the barrows near Kelemes in the Kuban; the shield was found in a barrow near Stanitsa Kostromskaya. The king’s iron axe, and the hilt and scabbard of his sword, have gold sheathing, chased in the Scythian style by Urartian (Armenian) craftsmen. He is also armed with a spear, and a bow and arrows in a gorytos (combined bow and arrow case) decorated with a chased gold face plate; the gold clasp is probably of Greek workmanship from Asia Minor. The cast bronze helmet is of Scythian origin; the body armour, of iron scales sewn to leather, is typically Scythian. The iron facing of the shield is unique: in the centre is a panther motif in Scythian ‘animal style’. From the girdle, decorated with gold ornaments, is slung a golden sup. The gold decoration of bridle and breast-strap is Scythian work; the saddle cloth, of a type common to all Iranian peoples, is typical of the Scythians.

A2: Urartian nobleman
These weapons and armour are based on finds from the excavation of the Urartian fortress of Teishebaini. The helmet is typical of this culture; the armour is of bronze scale construction. He carries a chased bronze quiver, and an iron sword with ivory hilt decoration. His clothing is reconstructed from wall-paintings and ceramics from Urartu.

B1: Scythian warrior, late 6th/early 5th century BC
Reconstructed from finds in barrow no. 3 near Khutor Popovka in Poltava province. The weapons are an iron sword, a battle-axe and a spear. The body-girdle, from the barrow near the village of Shchuchinka, is very wide, and is made from several rows of iron scales and long curved plates sewn to leather. The facing of the shield is unique: thick plates of bone are sewn to a wooden backing. Note the scalps of enemies decorating the bridle, and a fresh scalp slung at the waist—after Hero-
 plates from Kul Oba barrow. He stands over his fallen Thracian enemy; it is believed that scalps were still taken at this time.

B3: Thracian warrior, 4th century BC
The costume is reconstructed from Greek vase-paintings and from Thracian metalwork objects; the weapons are from archaeological finds, and include the kipis or machaira sword, typical of this region and period. Note fox-fur cap, long ornamental cloak, and boots trimmed with goat’s hair.

C1: Scythian warrior, 5th century BC
A rich warrior, reconstructed from finds at barrow no. 2 near the village of Volkovtsi in Poltava province. The leather body armour with attached scales resembles that worn by a warrior on the famous comb from the Solokha barrow. The typical shield has iron strips sewn to each other and to the wooden base with wire, and is edged with leather. Again, the girdle is of very narrow bronze strips. Note the length of the sword. He also carries a bow and arrows in a gorytos, a spear, a dagger and a javelin. The clothing comes from the pictorial decoration of the cup from Gaimanova Mogila barrow. The bridle was found complete in the tomb.

C2: Scythian nobleman, 4th century BC
This nomarchos or ‘prince’ is reconstructed from finds in barrow no. 1 near the village of Volkovtsi. The leather helmet is of Greek ‘Attic’ style. Bronze scales from the breast armour and bone scales from the shoulder-pieces were found in the tomb; the body defences are completed by a girdle of bronze plates. The warrior had a pointed iron axe, its haft decorated with a spiral gold band; a spear, a javelin, and a bow and arrows. The gorytos was decorated with gold plates, and others were sewn to the sleeves of the tunic. The gold-decorated bridle was found almost complete; the breast-strap of the harness had bronze decorative plates.

D1: Fully-armoured warrior, 5th century BC
Reconstructed from archaeological data from barrow no. 3 near Staikin Verkh in the forest-steppe zone (Northern Ukraine). The heavy armour, made of iron scales sewn to leather, gave an excellent defensive covering to the whole torso, the
4th century ceremonial sword, the hilt and scabbard gold-plated; note the oval pommel and tapering grip more typical of this period. The decoration combines Scythian animal motifs with a battle scene in the Classical style including figures in both Greek and Scythian costume.

This ceremonial scabbard from the Kul Oba royal burial is decorated entirely with real and mythical beasts; compare the pure feral style of the animals near the tip, with the very Greek-looking execution of the 'sea-horse' on the protruding 'ear'.
arms and the legs. We take the shield from the Solokha comb, the Kul Oba vase, and other sources. A comprehensive set of weapons is carried: sword, battle-axe, spear, and bow and arrows.

**D2: Fully-armoured warrior, late 5th/early 4th century BC**

Although believed to be a rank-and-file fighting man, this warrior reconstructed from finds in the barrow near Novorozanovka village wears a beautiful iron scale body armour of Scythian workmanship, which was found almost intact. The helmet has the form of a cap, with scales covering the skull and iron strips on the ear-flaps and neck-guard. Note the usual bronze-strip girdle. The leggings attach to bronze buttons, fixed to the inner surface of the body armour, by means of special loops. A typically comprehensive set of weapons is carried.

**E1: Scythian king, late 5th/early 4th century BC**

Reconstructed from finds in the Solokha barrow, this warrior-king wears full battle armour. The helmet is a re-worked Greek piece, of Attic, Chalcidian or Corinthian manufacture. The body armour is of iron scale construction, with short sleeves. Note the Greek bronze greaves, which have the upper part cut off perhaps in order to make it easier to control the horse with the knees. The shield covered with iron strips is taken from the Solokha comb. Note the cup, of Greek workmanship in a Scythian decorative style, slung from the girdle. The sword hilt and scabbard are covered with gilded silver plate, decorated by Greek craftsmen with a battle-scene of Scythians, beasts and griffons. The bronze mace thrust into the bronze-strip girdle was a sign of the highest social rank as well as a weapon. The set of golden bridle decorations is extremely rich, with many frontal and nasal figurines; usually we find only a single figurine. The set of bronze decorations on the breast-strap of the harness is typically Scythian. All harness decorations were of Scythian workmanship, rather than foreign. The front edge of the soft saddle has appliqué golden triangles, and decorative gold plates are sewn to the clothing and footwear. The torque is the work of a Greek master. Note also the wide bracelets, another mark of royal status.

**E2: Fully-armoured Scythian nobleman, 4th century BC**

Reconstructed from the pictorial detail on the

Scythian dart, javelin and spear heads, as they came from the ground; the longest is more than 70cm from socket to tip. The dart invites immediate comparison with the later Roman *julium*, and Frankish *ungur*. These were unearthed from various 6th to 4th century burials.
golden plate, of Scythian workmanship, found in Geremesov barrow. The bronze helmet of Chalcidian style, manufactured in Italy, has had a scale neckguard added by local smiths. The heavy scale body armour of local workmanship, with long sleeves and thigh defences, is supplemented by a triangular pectoral—we have not yet found one of these pieces, but we may see it depicted on later Sarmatian finds. The greaves have been deliberately modified, the knee-pieces being cut off and replaced by separate domed defences: a logical modification of heavy infantry armour for mounted use. The same sources show us a unique example of scale breast armour for the horse. The shield, faced with iron strips and mounted with a bronze figure of a fish, was found in a barrow near Ordzhonikidze in Dniepropetrovsk province. The gorytos is faced with a superbly chased golden plate depicting an eagle, worked by Greek craftsmen to Scythian order; this was found in Dort Oba barrow in the Crimea.

*Fig.: Sindo-Maeotic noblemen in full armour, 5th century BC*

This impressive figure is reconstructed from a statue now in Krasnodar Museum. The bronze helmet, manufactured in northern Italy, was found near Stanitsa Dakhovskaya in the Kuban, close to the site where the statue was found. The scale-faced shield is taken from the Solokha comb, and from a
recent find in Kherson province. The leather body armour had pauldrons and long sleeves; note that the skirt is much longer at the rear than at the front. The pauldrons and upper breast are decorated with large bronze plates in the animal style, which probably combine defensive, aesthetic and magical functions. We have archaeological evidence for the use of iron strips sewn to the sleeves. The breast and skirt of the armour are covered with iron scales. The statue shows us clear representations of a long sword, and a dagger in a tasselled sheath; one can also see a whip with two tassels, and a gorytos containing arrows and two bows.

F2: Scythian nobleman, 5th century BC
The defensive armour was found in the tombs of the town of Nymphaeum in the Crimea—the helmet in barrow no. 1, the remainder in barrow no. 6—and is now in the Ashmolean Museum, Oxford. The imported bronze helmet of Illyrian style was re-worked by a Scythian armourer, who cut off the neck-guard and attached it at the brow to form a peak. Part of a shoulder-piece of Classical-style leather armour, with attached bronze scales, was found in one tomb. The body armour was decorated with a bronze plate in the form of an elk’s head on the breast. The girdle consisted of ‘daggered’ bronze strips. The Scythian greaves are of typical construction; strips of bronze are attached together and to the leather base with wire. The sword, whose gilded hilt and sheath combined Scythian and Greek decorative elements, was found in Ostraya Mogila barrow near the village of Tomakovka. The rich golden decorative work on the quiver-section of the gorytos, and the truncated cone of gold which seems to be a decorative fixture for scalps, were found in the Crimea in a barrow near Ilyitchëvo.

F3: Scythian noblewoman, 4th century BC
The women’s tombs found near Ordzhonikidze yielded several examples of war-gear for women warriors. There was no body armour; the costume combined female elements—a ‘tiara’ headpiece, and a collarless tunic with a long skirt—with male trousers. Typical features were a bow and arrows in a gorytos which had a sheath for a knife let into the face, a spear and a javelin. Swords are rare in female tombs, only three being known. A mirror, as shown here slung to the girdle, is invariably found.
G: Scythian king and his retinue on the march, 4th century BC

The costume of the king, G1, his queen and their little son, G2, are reconstructed from archaeological finds in the royal barrow of Tolstaya Mogila near Ordzhonikidze. The king wears an iron scale corselet with shoulder-pieces, the edges rimmed with gold, and the breast decorated with a chevron of stamped leather painted red and covered with gold foil. An iron Scythian girdle and bronze Greek greaves complete the defensive armour. The mace, once again, signifies the highest social rank. The sword, with chased gold decoration, is the work of Greek masters following Scythian designs. The silver plate facing the gorytos has appliqué decorations of gilded bronze in the Scythian animal style. The handle of the whip has a spiral gold band, and a tassel of gold beads at the head. The costume is lavishly decorated with gold plates, and on the king’s breast can be seen the world-famous pectoral, the work of a Greek goldsmith.

The queen wears a gown, shawl and headdress decorated with the same kind of gold appliqué-work; the position of these plates in the tomb enabled archaeologists to reconstruct the form of the costume. Note the mirror slung at the waist. The little prince already wore a torque at the neck and a royal bracelet on his arm.

The king’s mount has a bridle decorated with chased plates of gilded silver, of Greek workmanship, and a breast-strap with typically Scythian bronze ornaments.

The king’s bodyguard ride with him, under a standard consisting of horsetails flying from a bronze capital mounted on a pole. The nobleman, G3, wears an armour found in a barrow near Dniepropetrovsk; his silver-decorated bridle, and the breast strap with a protective apron of bronze plates, come from Krasny Kut barrow. A field camp of Scythian travelling vans can be seen in the background.

Hl: Young Scythian warrior, 4th century BC

A young warrior of humble birth brings the head of his first-slain enemy to his king, and is rewarded with a ritual cup of wine. He is armed with the usual bow and arrows in a gorytos. He has the head of a Macedonian military leader in his hand, and has stripped his enemy of his armour and weapons; a 5th century Scythian sculpture of a warrior king. These ancient figures, which were originally raised on the top of the finished burial mounds, have been found on several sites.
Graeco-Macedonian helmet and greaves were found in a hoard near Olonesti in Moldavia, a shield in Kurdzhipsyt barrow in the Kuban, and fragments of a sword at Olbia. Note the warrior’s long hair—this could only be cut after he had killed his first enemy.

H2: Scythian king, 4th century BC
The chief of one of the tribes that acknowledged the authority of the Bosphoran kingdom, he is reconstructed from finds at the Kul Oba royal barrow on the Kerch peninsula in the Crimea. A number of the iron scales of the body armour were gilded; the girdle was of gilded iron strips, and Greek greaves complete the armour. Note the rich golden decorative work on the headgear and clothing; the torque, bracelets and rings, all of Greek workmanship; the hilt and sheath of the sword covered in Greek goldwork, and the knife and whetstone set in gold. The shield, of wood and leather, is decorated with a golden deer—again, Greek work made to Scythian order.

H3: Young nobleman, 4th century BC
This royal bodyguard of high birth has an armour of Graeco-Scythian type, combining bronze scales and strips, which seems to be the work of Bosphoran armourers. This kind of Scythian ‘splinted’ greaves were found in barrow no. 4 of the ‘Seven Brothers’ group in the Kuban. The sword is taken from one found in a barrow at Bolshaya Belozerka near Zaporoshye.

Scythian coin bearing the name and likeness of King Atai—variously, ‘Aetas’ or ‘Aheas’ in the Greek form—who died in battle against the Macedonians at the age of 90. It is characteristic that he should be shown on horseback, bow in hand. The Scythians attached enormous importance to the horse herds upon which their culture was founded. It is believed that there were three main types of horse. The largest, with a height to the withers of about 1.44 to 1.50m (14|1 to 14|2 hands) was relatively scarce, and is mainly represented in very rich burials; it can be compared to an Arab thoroughbred, and was apparently used as a battle charger by the nobility. The most frequent type was smaller, about 1.40cm (13|2hh) to the withers; this was an all-purpose breed for battle, work, and draught, and was rather smaller and lighter than our present-day saddle horse. The smallest, about 1.30cm (12|hh) to the withers, was bred largely for its meat—a favourite Scythian dish. Pictorial sources suggest that the Scythians preferred to ride stallions. Mummified horses found at Pazyryk, and some cases of well-preserved horse burials on the European steppes, suggest that the preferred colour was ‘red’, and it seems that horses with white markings were bred out.
Notes sur les planches en couleurs

Toutes les planches sont fondées sur des découvertes archéologiques en Union Soviétique. Voici les légendes en langue anglaise pour les localités des tumulus appliquées dans chaque cas.


B1 Marquer la large ceinture protégeant l'abdomen: les scalps des ennemis à la bride et à la ceinture, et la peau écorchée d'un ennemi abattu utilisée comme couronne de casque. Les gorytoses sont uniques en son genre. la garniture de bande en os. B2 L'armure en cuir renforcée de plaques de bronze appliquées est scythe, mais les jambières sont grecques. Style de coiffure inhabituel, extrait d'images sur objets d'art en métal trouvé à Kul Oba. Il s'agit d'un chef turc. B3 Costume thracien restitué à partir de témoignages picturaux sur des vases, etc.

C1 Riche guerrier portant une armure en cuir renforcée d'écaillès et un bouclier en bois à bande de fer. La longue épée n’est pas typique. C2 Ce prince porte un casque grec Attique, des vêtements ornés de plaques d'or, et une armure à renfort en or sur les épaules, particularité peu ordinaire. Le gorytos, comme d'habitude, comporte des plaquettes en or et or sur la surface extérieure, décoré dans le style de chasse des Scythes.

D1 Armure en écaillès de fer complète, peu commune; noter la panoplie typiquement riche: épée, hache, javelot, arc et flèches. D2 Une autre découverte archéologique admirable nous a permis de reconstituer cette armure en écaillées complète; les jambières sont acrotères à des boutons en bronze à l'intérieur des basques de la tunique. Le casque en forme de casquette est restitué.

E1 Roi guerrier en tenue de bataille complète: casque grec, armes grecques, harnais de cheval exceptionnellement très décoré, bouclier et corselet du type scythe, et armes et équipements superbe décorés par des artisans grecs mais en style scythe. Les bracelets, et la masse d'armes passée dans la ceinture, sont des attributs de royauté. E2 L'un des gardes du corps nobles du roi; ici aussi, le casque et les jambières, de fabrication grecque, ont été soigneusement dressés par le métal scythe. La garniture de l'armure en écaillées est unique en son genre. Le gorytos, découvert en Crimée, est de qualité particulièrement fine; une fois de plus, le style est scythe mais le travail est grec.

F1 Noter l'armure en cuir exceptionnelle, pointe et dorée; le casque de fabrication italienne décoré dans le Ruban: le four garni de glands, et le gorytos avec deux arcs. F2 Des objets découverts en Crimée, mais se trouvant actuellement en Angleterre, sont notamment: casque fribourgeois à protège-nuque découpé et réfléchi à l'avant, comme visière, par un armurier scythe. Ici, les jambières sont typiquement scythes. F3 Les articles servant à la guerre sont rares mais pas inconnus dans les tombes des femmes, mais en particulier, des épées n'ont presque jamais été découvertes. Des pièces typiques sont le gorytos à fourreau de cuivre incorporé à la plaque frontale et le miroir porté à la ceinture, à la façon dont les hommes portaient parfois des coups.

G Magnifiques costumes royaux d'un roi, de sa reine et de leur petit garçon, découverts dans des tombes royales près d'Ozdronnikovka. L'ensemble comprend des éléments de travail local décoré à motifs de chasse, et plaquettes d'or courant sur les vêtements le plus souvent la contribution de maîtres-artisans grecs. L'ornement pectoral de ce roi est réalisé dans le monde entier en tant que découverte tombale. Derrière la fausse royale se tient son garde-du-corps avec un étendard à queue de cheval.

H Un jeune guerrier d'humile naissance apporte comme tribut au roi la tête de son premier ennemi abattu: un officier macédonien. Il reçoit en récompense une coupe de vin rituelle. Le roi et son garde du corps noble portent divers articles d'origine mixte scythe et grecque. Des accessoires guerriers macédoniens capturés figurent parmi plusieurs précieuses découvertes.

Farbtafeln

Alle Rekonstruktionen basieren auf Funden in der Sowjetunion: Siehe die Tafelbeschreibungen in englischer Sprache für Namen und Lage der jeweiligen Grabhügel.


D1 Ungewöhnlich kompletter Fund einer eisernen Schuppenriemung, und beachte die typisch reichhaltige Besattung; Schwert, Äxt, Axt, Lanze, Bogen und Pfeile. D2 Ein anderer hervorragender archäologischer Fund ermöglicht es uns, diese volle Schuppenriemung zu rekonstruieren; der Beinbund ist in Bronze möpfe an der Innenseite des Panzerhemdes eingeklebt. Der Helm ist wie eine phrygische Mitte formiert, mit auf den montierten Schuppen und Eiszapfen als Ohren- und Nackenschutz.
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