INTRODUCTION

The period between the Restoration of Charles II in 1660 and Marlborough’s decisive victory at Blenheim in 1704 is often seen as something of a ‘backwater’ of military history. The struggles of the English Civil Wars were over and Marlborough’s epic campaigns yet to begin. However, this 40-year pause was far from a period of peace and inactivity. It was marked by the defeat of the Monmouth Rebellion at Sedgemoor in 1685, the ‘Glorious Revolution’ in 1688, the ambush at Killiecrankie in 1689, and the battle of the Boyne in 1690. Overseas it saw the garrisoning of the British colonies of Virginia and the West Indies; expeditions to Bombay and Portugal; and the defence, against overwhelming odds, of the British bases at Dunkirk and Tangier. The 1690s brought direct involvement in the European power struggle – with large numbers of British troops deployed against the French in Flanders at the pitched battles of Walcourt (1689), Steenkirk (1692) and Landen (1693).

Indeed, the period was vitally important in the development of Britain’s armed forces: it saw the birth of the British army in its modern form, and the establishment of many regiments that survive to this day.

Yet it is not a period that can be easily categorised; it spanned the reigns of three monarchs, each with a fundamentally different attitude to the army. Charles II (reigned 1660–85) feared the old Cromwellian army and tried to destroy it before rebuilding an army he could trust.

James II (1685–88) lost much of this hard-won trust by trying to lead England back to Catholicism. William III (1688–1702) used the British army largely as an auxiliary force to the highly trained Dutch army in his own wars on the Continent as well as in the British Isles—a difficult but, as it turned out worthwhile apprenticeship. When Marlborough took over command in 1702, the British army knew its trade well enough to take on the armies of the great powers of Europe on equal terms.

To attempt to trace the political and religious struggles, and the many campaigns fought during the reigns of these three monarchs is beyond the scope of this book. Indeed, to write at all of a ‘British’ army at this time is something of a misnomer—separate armies existed in Scotland, Ireland and England. The main purpose here is to reconstruct the uniforms and equipment of this period. This is not a straightforward task. There was some regulation of uniform, but most details were left to individual regiments. Unfortunately the government ‘patterns’—garments approved centrally setting basic standards—were destroyed by fire many years ago. The
uniforms reconstructed here are therefore based upon eyewitness reports, a few paintings and engravings, and bills and accounts for the purchase of uniforms.

For weapons and equipment the situation is slightly better as these were provided through the Ordnance Office and much of the paperwork survives in the Public Record Office in London. The evidence begins with a few documents scattered randomly through the records for the reign of Charles, becomes organised volumes for the reign of James and is an unscaleable mountain thereafter. The bibliography lists some of these sources, and also gives details of the books and articles of those who pioneered research in the field in the last century, and of those who currently labour in the archives. Where possible, original quotes have been reproduced in the text, as many of the details for this period remain contentious.
THE ARMY OF CHARLES II

Following the Restoration of Charles II in 1660, Parliament intended to destroy Cromwell’s army, and instead rely on scattered garrisons and the militia for internal security and national defence. However, when an uprising by religious fanatics in London routed the militia, regular soldiers had to be brought in to restore order. King Charles was thus provided with an excuse to maintain a force of ‘personal Guards’.

The Tangier Garrison
The marriage of Charles II to a Portuguese princess, Catherine of Braganza, brought the colony of Tangier to England as part of her dowry. The port stood on the African Atlantic coast near the straits of Gibraltar and it was hoped that its possession would open up English trade with Africa. Unfortunately the local inhabitants proved to be unfriendly, making the outpost a heavy drain on resources and bringing few benefits. Initially a garrison regiment for Tangier was formed numbering 1000 men in ten companies under Lord Peterborough. Three further regiments were sent from Dunkirk after that town was returned to the French.

In April 1663 the new governor of Tangier, Lord Teviot, strengthened the town defences considerably by constructing a line of blockhouses and trenches on the ring of hills dominating the town. The Moors reacted by staging repeated attacks on the new works. One of the more unfortunate incidents occurred in May 1664 when Teviot with 500 men was lured into an ambush while foraging for wood and building materials. Teviot was cut down and only 30 soldiers escaped. Fortunately the Moors were distracted by internal feuds and the reduced garrison of 1415 foot and 140 horse was able to hold out.

After 1678 the Moors became increasingly hostile and with the aid of European renegades attempted a formal siege of the colony. A section of the defences were overrun with heavy English loss, and many of the surrounding hills became permanently occupied by Moors. Percy Kirke was sent out as the new governor to restore the situation. He brought with him two combined battalions of 600 men each, one drawn from the Guards regiments and the other from Dumbarton's Regiment, so that by October 1681 the garrison numbered 3221 foot and 120 horse. On 27 October 1680 a force of 1500 men comprising six infantry battalions, seven troops of horse (including some hired from Spain) and a naval brigade, marched out to fight the Moors. For once the tribesmen accepted battle face to face and were routed by cavalry charges and musketry, losing some 500 men. But this minor victory was not enough to save the colony: in 1683 the garrison and settlers were shipped back to England.

Other colonies
Charles II's Portuguese marriage also brought England possession of Bombay. In 1661, 400 foot in four companies were sent to take over the colony. The local Portuguese commander, however, was unwilling to give up his post and it was not until November 1666 that the 97 surviving English soldiers took up occupation in the colony. Rather
short-sightedly, Charles considered Bombay to be of no
long-term value; in 1667 he sold the place to the East India
Company for an annual rent of £10.

England also had colonies in the Americas in close
competition with the French, Dutch and Spanish. British
troops were sent out in response to various threats, but lack
of funds meant they generally went unpaid and were the
first to be disbanded when the government needed to save
money. Jamaica was garrisoned by two companies from
1677 to 1682; Barbados had its own regiment from 1667 to
1671; and New York, recently captured from the Dutch,
had in 1667 a garrison of 300 men, of whom none remained
under arms by 1679. A rebellion in Virginia in 1676
prompted the emergency shipment of 1000 British troops,
though they arrived to find the rebel leader already dead
and his supporters defeated.

Foreign expeditions
In addition to colonial service many soldiers found
themselves fighting under foreign command as allies of
European powers. In 1662 three New Model Army
regiments forming the garrison of Scotland were reduced
into two regiments each of 1000 men and were shipped
with a regiment of 1000 horse to fight under Portuguese
command against Spanish invaders; they remained
overseas until 1668. Charles II took advantage of this
expedition to remove a number of troublesome anti-
monarchists in the army from the country.

A British brigade had been in service with the Dutch
since the 16th century. In 1665 the outbreak of war with
Holland caused the force to be disbanded with many of the
returning soldiers recruited into the Holland Regiment
and the Admiral’s Regiment. Peace came in 1674 and a new
Anglo-Dutch brigade was formed comprising three Scott-
ish, one Irish and two English regiments.

France had long been a favourite destination for
British soldiers of fortune, who served mostly in separate
British regiments under officers of their own nationality.
This tradition came to a temporary end in 1678, when
Parliament forced Charles to abandon his French alliance
to side with the Dutch. Several regiments including
Dumbarton’s were made to return from French service.
Many veterans found themselves transferred into a new
force earmarked as aid for the Dutch, with the Duke of
Monmouth (himself just returned from French service) in
command; a force of 17 battalions of infantry, 10 squad-
rons of horse, 9 squadrons of dragoons and 20 guns –
17,860 men in all. However, King Charles was not willing
to lose his secret French pension and he managed to
repeatedly delay the sailing of the force. The troops did
reach Flanders in the end, but only Monmouth with his
personal followers was present at the final battle of the war.
The men were left to make their way home, disease-ridden,
poorly provisioned and, as usual, lacking pay.

Scotland and Ireland
As well as the English army, Scotland and Ireland
maintained their own forces, which were independent but
still owed their allegiance to King Charles II. In Scotland a
regiment of Foot Guards and a troop of the King’s Guard
of Horse with a few small garrisons brought the total
strength of the Scottish army to 1200 men. This army was
mostly employed in suppressing the Lowland religious opponents of the government; it rarely ventured into the Highlands. The most serious problem came in 1678 when a force of 6000 Covenanter rose up in rebellion. The Duke of Monmouth was dispatched with one regiment of foot, three troops of horse, a company of dragoons and two groups of Highlanders – some 2754 soldiers in all. The forces met at Bothwell Bridge where Monmouth’s regulars swept the Covenanter from the field in a single charge.

Ireland also maintained its own forces, though strict instructions forbade the recruiting of Catholics. At the Restoration the Irish garrison stood at 66 companies of foot and 30 troops of horse. In April 1662 a regiment of Irish Foot Guards was raised, together with 60 ‘Guards of battleaxes’ for ceremonial duties. By 1676 the garrison had been formed into six regiments each of horse and foot. However, pay was always in short supply, and in order to prevent starvation, foot soldiers had to be allowed to work as labourers and horsemen and given leave to return to their own farms.

**THE ARMY OF JAMES II**

In the enthusiasm surrounding the coronation of a new king, Parliament forgot its long-standing battle over the royal finances and granted James II generous revenues. The celebrations were soon soured when a pretender, the Duke of Monmouth – who as the first-born son of Charles II had a claim to the throne – landed at Lyme Regis in Dorset hoping to raise the West Country in revolt. Five days later he marched into Taunton at the head of 3000 men having routed the county militia and captured many of its weapons.

The initial response to the Monmouth Rebellion was confused as small battalions composed of a number of companies from a regiment were ordered westward. Immediately James set about recruiting new units to bolster the old ones. The force that was finally assembled was made up of a troop of Horse Guards of 150 troopers and 60 Horse Grenadiers, seven troops of the Earl of Oxford’s Regiment of Horse, three troops of the Royal Dragoons with another on outpost duty, 13 companies of...
rebellen, James II took the opportunity to keep them under arms.

The Glorious Revolution of 1688
During the Sedgemoor campaign the army had remained loyal to King James despite overtures from Monmouth, even though Monmouth had earlier been the army's Captain-general. Only three years later the situation had changed radically. In his attempts to reintroduce Catholicism, James had shown increasing favouritism towards Catholics and had recruited them in preference to Protestant officers in the Irish army. Such policies were not popular in the army or among the public at large.

William of Orange invaded Britain partly for fear that Britain would become an ally of France, but (more importantly) because of an invitation from Protestant elements in the English Parliament. He crossed the Channel in the stormy November of 1688, landing at Torbay. A small number of English officers and soldiers defected to him but most remained loyal. James, however, had lost his nerve. He was convinced his own army would no longer fight for him, and he fled to France.

THE ARMY OF WILLIAM III

The accession of William and Mary was almost as much of a surprise to their supporters as to their opponents. It had been assumed that William's invasion would bring James to his senses, forcing him to protect the rights of Parliament and the supremacy of the Protestant religion, but leaving him as king. James's flight to the French court made this impossible, and England now found itself firmly locked into William's anti-French alliance.

The Scots and Irish were, however, far less willing to accept William and Mary. With French backing, James landed at Kinsale on 12 March 1689 and attempted to raise the Catholic Irish in his support. The army in Ireland consisted of a troop of Horse Guards, a troop of Horse Grenadier Guards, a regiment of Foot Guards, a regiment of dragoons, 8 of foot and 3 of horse. A large part of this force came over to James giving him some 7000 regulars. The Protestants in the ranks, however, refused to join James, and left their regiments for Londonderry, which endured a siege of 105 days until General Kirke relieved the town.

In August 1689, King William's main army landed in Ireland. It was made up of Dutch and Danish as well as English regiments. The army suffered terrible privations due to poor supply and ill discipline, and thousands died of...
The battle of the Boyne (1 July 1690) saw 26,000 Jacobites overwhelmed by 35,000 Williamites including many Dutch and Danish veterans. William ordered Schomberg and then Douglas to mount a flanking attack on Slane bridge. James overreacted and sent his reserve to meet it. William then ordered a frontal assault across the river against the strong Jacobite positions. Bitter fighting resulted until William led his left-flank cavalry through bogs bordering the river. Finding themselves outflanked, the Jacobites began to withdraw under the cover of cavalry. Jacobite losses were not serious, but James fled back to France abandoning his followers. From The Wars of William III and Queen Anne by Brig-Gen Kane, 1735. (Private Collection)

The European war

While William had been occupied in Ireland, the French war had been developing unabated. Churchill (the later Duke of Marlborough) led an 8000-strong English contingent to Flanders where they distinguished themselves at the indecisive battle of Walcourt. The French won the battle of Fleurs in July 1690, and in 1691 they captured Mons and Hal before defeating the Allies again at Lens. In 1692 William took personal command but could not prevent the fall of Namur. In August he mounted a surprise attack on the French position at Steenkirk but the French commander, Luxembourg, quickly organised a defensive line of battle. The British infantry pushed the French back to their camp, but were, in turn, forced to retire when the French and Swiss Guards counter-attacked. The solid bravery of the British infantry was already being recognised by Continental commanders. At the crucial point in the battle of Steenkirk, the Count of Solms refused English pleas for reinforcement with the words 'Damn the English. They are very fond of fighting; now let them have a bellyful of it'. Both sides lost about 7000 men.

The following year the armies again clashed at Landen (Neerwinden). Luxembourg with 80,000 men attacked William's army of 50,000 in its defensive camp. Despite several repulses, the French were able to use their superior numbers to rout William's army; the British contingent fought particularly well and managed to retain enough order to conduct a steady fighting retreat. In 1697, with both sides exhausted by nine years of war, an unsatisfactory peace - effectively merely a cease-fire - was concluded. French expansionism resurfaced in 1701, with the outbreak of the War of Spanish Succession.

UNIFORMS & WEAPONS OF THE HORSE

The English Civil War had resolved the question of whether cavalry should rely on firepower or shock tactics: the charge home with sword was now standard. A pair of pistols remained in use - one fired during the charge and the other held in reserve for the pursuit or retreat. Carabines were not issued to all New Model Horse, although this may have been as much an economy measure as a reflection of tactical doctrine. Carabines continued to be issued in circumstances where they were of value, particularly for patrol or picket duties. Indeed there was a general move later in the century to re-arm arm with carabines, beginning with the Horse Guards.

The buffcoat remained a key part of the horseman's defensive armour though it was now sometimes worn under a top coat. From about the early 1660s buffcoats began to be replaced by waistcoats made of cloth - perhaps a case of comfort before safety. Back and breast plates remained in use for much of the period, though Oxford's Horse were ordered to discard their armour at the start of the 1688 campaign. The pot helmet or 'tri-bar' also remained in use for some time, although metal 'secrets' worn under hats were becoming popular by the 1690s.
The Horse Guards
While Charles II had been in exile on the Continent, a group of volunteer gentlemen had formed his mounted lifeguard of two troops. Following the Restoration a new mounted lifeguard was established made up of three troops of Horse Guards each 200 strong. These troops were known as the King’s, the Duke of York’s (composed of the two troops of old Royalists) and the Duke of Albemarle’s (which became the Queen’s on Albemarle’s death in 1671). Recruits were drawn from the gentry and from men who had served the Royalist cause during the Civil Wars and the king’s exile.

The earliest information on the dress of the Horse Guards comes from the Coronation in 1661 where Sir Edmund Walker describes them thus: ‘The King’s Horse Guard, all well mounted, having Buff’ Coats, with white Armour, their Horses furnished with Hoores (being a short Foot cloth) with red Scarfs, & plumes of Red & white Feathers . . . The Guards of His Royal Highness the Duke of Yorke . . . all having black Armour, Red, white & black Feathers, and Red Scarfs, with belts of his Highnesse Livery.’

Regulations for musters in the State Papers Domestic describe the arms required for the Horse in 1663: ‘Each Horseman to have for his defensive arms, back, breast, and pot, and for his offensive arms, a sword, a case of pistols the barrels whereof are not to be under 14 inches in length, and each trooper of Our Guards to have a carbine besides . . . .’

The issue of carbines was clearly to be a specific feature of the Horse Guards.

As so often in this period it is unwise to assume that

In addition to two pistols, many horsemen carried a carbine which was normally suspended on a broad carbine belt worn over the right shoulder. The carbine was clipped to the belt by a ring fitted to a metal rail on the side of the carbine. This example has a 31-inch barrel and a bore of .67 inches. (National Army Museum)
equipment was issued just because an order was given. In 1670 the Duke of Monmouth, then colonel of the King’s Troop, wrote: ‘I have taken an account of the arms of my troop and find that of 200 backs, breasts and potts, 50 are wanting, whereof 14 were lost, some at the fire at the Horse Guards and others in service at Winchcombe. I beg for their supply and for 200 carabines promised by HM to the troop.’ The letter appears to have had an effect, for on 16 September 1670, Monmouth wrote arranging for the delivery of the missing 200 carabines, complete with straps and sockets.

The next sighting of the Horse Guards is in Travels of Cosmo III through England dated 1669: ‘The 1st of the Company (or Troops) of the body-guard called the King’s Company, composed of gentlemen and half pay officers, dressed in red jackets (or coats) faced with blue and richly ornamented with gold lace and wearing white feathers in their hats was commanded by the Duke of Monmouth. The 2nd called the Duke’s wore red jackets with blue facings without gold, and white feathers in their hats. The 3rd, that of the General, wore a dress similar to that of a Duke’s, and instead of feathers a ribbon of crimson colour.’

The most detailed description of the Horse Guards comes from the Coronation of James II in 1685 and is quoted in the commentary to Plate F1.

The Earl of Oxford’s Horse

In 1660 the new Royalist government had intended to disband all of Cromwell’s regiments and to leave the defence of the country in the hands of the navy and militia. Before this was completed, a group of religious extremists rose up in London in 1661. The militia was called out but demonstrated that it could not contain even the most limited civil disturbance, and regular soldiers had to be
called in to restore order. As a result, the Cromwellian horse regiment of Unton Crook was reorganised rather than disbanded. It was placed under the command of Aubrey de Vere, the Earl of Oxford, and restyled ‘The Earl of Oxford’s Horse’.

The regiment was to consist of seven troops of 60 men and a king’s troop of 80. These strengths appear not to have been reached as in 1677 an order was made that all troops of the regiment be recruited to 60 troopers. In a review of 1684 each troop contained only 3 corporals, 2 trumpeters and 45 troopers.

Troopers’ coats and cloaks were of blue lined with red, to distinguish them from the Horse Guards; horse furniture and holsters were of blue embroidered with the Royal Cipher. Back and breast plates, pot helmets and carbines were issued to recruits joining the regiment in February 1678 indicating that existing troopers were already so equipped. In 1684 the troopers had ‘their Carbine Belts laced with Gold upon Buff with a red edging’. A contemporary painting shows troopers in grey hats with black feathers. In November 1688, along with other regiments of horse, Oxford’s men were ordered to abandon their armour as they marched to meet the invasion force of William of Orange. Buff leather waistcoats may have been worn up until 1696 when buff-coloured cloth waistcoats were issued.

The new regiments of 1685–88
The Monmouth rebellion gave James II an excuse to raise new regiments. Though the rising was crushed before
recruitment had been completed, many of these new units were retained in service. Once the rebellion was over, each cavalry troop was reduced to 40 private troopers, perhaps achieved by putting an end to new recruiting. Many of the new units were disbanded almost immediately after they were raised. Lord Dover's new regiment was converted to a troop of the Horse Guards. The Queen's Regiment of Horse was raised by a royal warrant dated 13 June 1685 and was to consist of 9 troops each of 1 quartermaster, 60 soldiers, 3 corporals and 2 trumpeters besides commissioned officers. The following horse regiments seem also to have achieved, by 1686, a more permanent footing: the Queen Dowager’s, the Earl of Peterborough’s, the Earl of Plymouth’s, the Earl of Thanet’s, and the Earl of Scarsdale’s.

As to the equipment of these regiments, on 15 June 1685 the following order had been issued: *Equipment to be sent to Berwick to add to arms there to equip a regiment of Horse: Back and Breast and Potts 360 Carabines with Belts and Swivels 360 Pistolls with holsters 1440.* This confirms that the new regiments were fully equipped with carbines and body armour, though the issue of double the normal proportion of pistols cannot be explained. An order for the issue of ‘100 suits of Armour ... Breasts to be Carbine proof and ye Backs and Potts Pistol proof’ indicates that the armour was of the same standard of protection as used during the Civil War and was not merely for show.

The horse retained uniforms in much the same style for the remainder of the century with broad cross-belts for carbine and sword worn over a crimson coat. The normal head dress was the wide-brimmed hat, usually with a ‘secret’ iron head protector worn underneath; the pot helmet was still worn in battle by some units as late as 1696.

The London Gazette of 30 June–4 July 1687 carried the following advertisement concerning the Queen’s Horse:

‘Stolen from Nathaniel Green, Quartermaster a red coat with large plate buttons, lined with yellow silk, the sleeves faced with silver tissue, a silver net-fringed scarf, a pair of silver fringed gloves, a black hat laced and a silver hat-band, a white Holland waistcoat with a fringe, a periwig, etc.’

A warrant from around 1696 gives the following particulars of the clothing of a regiment of horse.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clothing</th>
<th>Former price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300 Coats of Crimson Cloth</td>
<td>£ 3 10 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Coats of Crimson Cloth, Corporals</td>
<td>4 10 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318 Cloaks of red cloth</td>
<td>2 5 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318 Hats edged with silver</td>
<td>0 15 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318 swords</td>
<td>0 10 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318 Shoulder belts</td>
<td>0 10 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318 Carbine belts</td>
<td>0 7 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318 Cloth Waistcoats</td>
<td>0 1 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318 Pr. Buff Gloves</td>
<td>0 7 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318 Hoose and Caps, embroidered</td>
<td>1 5 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318 Pr. Jack boots</td>
<td>1 6 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318 Cartouch boxes</td>
<td>0 2 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Memo: Each Captain clothes his own trumpeter and the Kettle drum is clothed by the Colonel.

An order for 1696 specifies that the horse will be re-equipped every two years: *The Troopers shall be completely clothed every two years; and care shall be taken that neither arms, Boots, Saddles nor any other accoutrements belonging either to the Trooper or Horse shall be wanting ... Officers to agree upon a pattern approved by the Colonel for their coats and to buy them where they like.*

The ‘secret’ or iron skull cap was a common substitute for the helmet, especially from the 1690s. It was worn fitted inside the hat. The version on the right has holes so that it could be sewn into the hat band. (National Army Museum)
The dragoon had proved a popular troop type during the Civil War. Although fighting on foot as an infantryman, the dragoon’s mobility made him ideal for scouting, picket duty and for collecting taxes, and supplies from enemy territory. The Restoration Army had no need for these services, and the anti-military feeling of Parliament, in any case, made the raising of dragoons difficult politically.

It was not until April 1672 that Prince Rupert’s Regiment of Dragoons was raised. It was to consist of 12 troops each of 80 men: ‘that is to say, three corporals, two sergeants, the gentleman of arms, and 12 soldiers of each of the said 12 Troops, are to have and carry each of them one halbard, and one case of pistols with holster; and the rest of the soldiers ... are to have and to carry each of them one matchlock musket, with a collar of bandoliers, and also to have and carry one bayonet, or great knife: That each

James II instituted summer camps, often on Hounslow Heath, at which the army was brought together for training and inspection. These became a regular feature of army life, and a political gesture aimed at keeping Parliament in its place. Print by G. Croom, dated 1686 (National Army Museum)

lieutenant have and carry one partizan; and that two drums be delivered out for each Troop.’

The use of matchlock muskets and bandoleers was unusual; experience during the Civil Wars had led to New Model dragoons being armed with flintlocks and cartridge boxes which were easier to manage on horseback. The 1672 regiment was, however, soon disbanded. When war threatened again in 1678, another regiment of dragoons was raised for Prince Rupert. Each troop was to consist of 1 captain, 1 lieutenant, 1 quarter-master, 2 sergeants, 3 corporals, 2 drummers, and 80 ‘private soldiers’ to be armed with ‘2 Partisans, 6 Halberds, 12 Fusils, 68 Musquets (with slings to all the firearms), 80 Cartridge-boxes, 80 Bayonets, 6 cases of pistols, 2 Drums’. In the
same month, orders were issued that all the Firearms were to be Snaphances.

Until this date, dragoon regiments had been raised for service in particular campaigns and disbanded immediately afterwards; the first permanent dragoon regiment was, like many of the army’s early units, created almost by accident. In October 1661 a body of 109 horsemen had been sent from London to form part of the Tangier garrison. When Tangier was abandoned in 1683, the Tangier Horse returned to England and the four troops were combined with two independent troops of dragoons to form the Royal Regiment of Dragoons.

The new regiment was dressed in crimson coats for officers and red coats and cloaks lined blue for the men. Horse furniture and holsters were red with blue and yellow embroidery and bore the Royal Cipher. Each troop consisted of a captain, a lieutenant, a cornet, a quartermaster, 2 sergeants, 3 corporals, 2 hautbois, 2 drummers, and 50 private soldiers.

When new dragoons were raised during the Monmouth Rebellion they followed the standardised arming of the Royal Dragoons. ‘5 New troops of Dragoons’ were raised, each troop with:

‘Snaphance musquets strapt for Dragoons 63
Cartouch Boxes with girdles 63
Boots or Socketts for ye muskets 63
Drummes for Draggoons 2
Byonets with Frogs and Belts 63
Halberts [for sergeants] 2
Partizans [for captain and lieutenant] 2
Saddles 60’

An instruction of February 1687 states that dragoons should be equipped with: ‘Snaphance Musquets, strapped, with bright barrels of 3 foot 8 inches long, cartouche boxes, bayonets, grenade pouches, buckets, and hammer hatchets’.

In May 1678 two independent companies of dragoons were formed in Scotland and a third company was added in 1679. These men wore grey coats and bonnets and were armed with broadswords, short muskets with belts and pistols. In November 1681 a dragoon regiment, which later became known as the Scots Greys, was formed by adding three new companies to the existing three and reforming each to 50 men. The regiment continued to wear stone grey clothing until at least the end of 1684 and in June 1685 was ordered into England in response to the Monmouth
Rebellion. A recent theory that the Scots Greys were so called from this grey clothing rather than from the colour of their horses seems to be contradicted by the following account from 1687. This details the materials used to manufacture red coats for the regiment, in a period long before the title ‘Scots Greys’ entered common usage:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Scots</th>
<th>English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5½ ells red cloth at £2 Scots per ell</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 ells blue serge lining at £1 scots per ell</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1½ ells green canvas for bindings</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 dozen tin buttons at 5s per doz</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 drab weight red silk at 18d per drab</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 oz red thread at 3s per oz</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--- to drab on the buttons</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Making the coat</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>[Total]</strong></td>
<td><strong>23</strong></td>
<td><strong>1 18</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Scottish ell measured 37 inches providing enough cloth for a coat loose enough to be worn over a waistcoat with the wide skirts necessary when mounted on a horse.

An order of 1697 sets out the provision of uniform for the dragoons: ‘The Dragoons shall have every year one pair breeches, one hat; every two years one Coat of better cloth than usually, and one cap; every three years one Cloak, one Housing, one Saddlery and harness, with Swords, Bayonets, belts, Cartouch-box and slings.’ . . ‘Officers to agree upon a pattern approved by the Colonel for their coats and to buy them where they like.’

A list of 1696 gives a detailed breakdown of a dragoon regiment’s clothing:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>‘Former price’ (each)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coats and breeches</td>
<td>£2 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloaks</td>
<td>£2 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hats</td>
<td>£0 8 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caps</td>
<td>£0 5 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neckcloths</td>
<td>£0 1 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr. Boots</td>
<td>£0 1 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist belts</td>
<td>£0 4 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swords</td>
<td>£0 7 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leather Bags</td>
<td>£0 4 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hose and caps, embroidered</td>
<td>£0 1 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daggers</td>
<td>£0 2 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cartouch boxes</td>
<td>£0 2 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr. Stockings</td>
<td>£0 2 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sergeants’ coats and breeches</td>
<td>£3 1 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hats</td>
<td>£0 1 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caps</td>
<td>£0 1 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cravats</td>
<td>£0 2 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swords</td>
<td>£0 1 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belts</td>
<td>£0 6 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr. Stockings</td>
<td>£0 6 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hose and caps</td>
<td>£0 1 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporals’ suits</td>
<td>£0 2 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drummers’ suits</td>
<td>£0 2 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoboys’ suits</td>
<td>£3 1 0 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By the end of the century the dragoon was near the end of its existence as a troop type distinct from cavalry. A number of changes to the dragoon’s equipment signalled the new role. In May 1690 a company of ‘Grenadiers on horseback’ of the 4th Dragoons were issued with grenade pouches and fusils. In September 1697 the 8th Dragoons (Cunningham’s) had their pay docked to provide pistols. In actions such as the storming of the Schellenberg in

Towards the end of our period, the distinction between dragoon and cavalry declined as governments realised that the dragoon could fulfil many of the duties of the cavalryman but cost less in pay, mounting and equipment. This French dragoon carries his musket with its butt lodged in a ‘bucket’, aside from this he might otherwise be taken for a cavalryman. Engraving by N. Guerard. (Private Collection)
1704, dragoons dismounted to take part in the attack; but in open battle they now fought normally on horseback – abandoning their old role as mounted infantry and becoming part of the cavalry arm.

INFANTRY UNIFORMS

The provision of uniform throughout our period remained the business of the regimental commander. The ordinary soldier paid for his uniform and equipment through regular deductions taken from his pay, called 'off reckonings'. He had no say in the quality of his uniform; and as his equipment and uniform wore out he was required to pay for replacements, putting him almost permanently into debt. In February of 1678 the following order was made for the provision of uniforms and equipment to NCOs, men and recruits raised for the war with France:

'For the new clothing with a cloth coat lined with baize, one pair of kersay breeches, lined, with pockets, two shirts, two caravats, one pair of shoes, one pair of yarn hose, one hat, edged and hat band, one sash, and also one sword and belt ... the said clothing be satisfied for out of the off-reckonings of their pay, over and above their weekly subsistence-money, from time to time. And in case the said new-raised forces be disbanded before the off-reckonings reserved shall be sufficient to pay for the above clothing, what they fall short shall be paid out of Our treasure ... provided that the particulars before-mentioned do not exceed 53 shillings in the whole for each man.'

Though the uniform to be supplied was regulated centrally, it was left to the regiment to arrange manufacture. In 1669 the London Gazette published instructions to regiments: Colonels were to appoint two or three officers to see patterns of cloth, lining, etc. and to haggle down the price as low as they could. The colonel, if he approved, was to make a contract with the tradesmen and to sign it together with all his captains.

For most of the period the colour of the coat was fixed as red; considerable leeway was given to commanders about other aspects such as linings. The Duke of Beaufort was told in a letter of 4 July 1685 concerning his new regiment: 'As to their Clothing, the outside being red, [His Majesty] leaves it to you to use what other colour you like best for the Lining.'

The choice was not always made on sound military principles as Lord Chesterfield wrote in 1667: 'The soldiers red coats lined with black and black flags with a red cross in a black field, which I did, because I was at that time in mourning for my mother.' Some colonels found their personal preferences overruled by the patron of their regiment. It is thought that the Lord Admiral's Regiment wore yellow coats lined red, which were the colours of the Duke of York who was admiral at the time.

In an attempt to maintain some standards of quality, patterns and cloth specimens were issued to the manufacturers and copies were kept for later comparison with the finished articles. That way at least it was hoped manufacturers would not shortchange soldiers by supplying shoddy clothing in small sizes. Two government 'patterns' were kept, one at the Tower, and one in the Strand, though unfortunately both sets were destroyed by fire, one in the 18th, the other in the 19th century. No other official record was kept.

Even if they had survived, these 'patterns' would tell only part of the story: changes to a regiment's uniform could occur at the whim of its commander following the latest fashion. In 1695 in order to check a growing fad for
grenadier style caps King William issued an order that 'none of our regts, or companies of Foot do wear caps, excepting only the Royal Regiment of Fusileers, the Regt. of Scots Fusileers, and the Grenadeers of each respective regiment'.

At the end of the War of the Grand Alliance in 1697, the allocation of uniform and equipment was still much as it had been in 1678: 'One suit of clothes shall be taken every year out of the off-reckonings in the infantry, the first year one coat, 1 pr. breeches, one cap or hat, two shirts, two Cravats, two pairs of stockings and two pairs of shoes, the second year one Surtoute, one pair of breeches, one Shirt, one Cravat, one pair of stockings and one pair of shoes. And give the whole regiment every three years what they call the small armament Vizt. one Sword, one Bayonet, one Belt, one Cartridge Box with the furniture and slings.' 'Officers to agree upon a pattern approved by the Colonel for their coats and to buy them where they like.'

The general demobilisation at the end of the war saw many soldiers returning to civilian life with the items that they had paid for from their off-reckonings: 'That the non-commissioned Officers and Soldiers be permitted to carry away with them their cloaths belt and snapsack, and the Sergeant likewise their sword; and that each private soldier, corporal, and drummer be allowed 3s. for his sword.'

During the Civil Wars officers had worn their own clothing rather than a regimental uniform and this custom continued after the Restoration. Regulations for officers' clothing were introduced only gradually, and throughout the period remained as much a matter of fashion as of military discipline.

In 1684 an order was made designating the style of gorget to denote officer ranks: 'For the better distinction of Our several Officers serving in Our Companies of Foot, Our will and pleasure is, that all Captains of Foot wear no other Corselet [i.e. gorget] than of the colour of gold; all Lieutenants, black corselets studded with gold, and the Ensigns corselets of silver. And we do likewise think fit that all Lieutenants of Foot carry pikes and not partisans, which we do hereby order to be returned into the office of Our Ordnance.'

The success of this instruction may be judged from the following quotes. By the Coronation in April 1685 the officers of the First Foot Guards wore regulations gorgets and despite the finery on display there was a clear move towards scarlet as the colour of an officer:

'The Officers of this First Regiment of Foot-Guards... were exceedingly richly Habited; some in Coats of Cloth of Gold, others in Crimson Velvet Embroidered or Laced with Gold or Silver; but most of them in Fine Scarlet Cloth, Buttoned down the Brest and on the Facings of the Sleeves with Silver Plate.

Their Scarfs (which they wore about their wastes) were either Network of Gold or Silver, or Crimson Taffeta richly Fringed with Gold or Silver, and their Hats were adorned with Tours of White Feathers.
The Captains were distinguished by Corselets or Gorgets of Silver Plate double gilt; The Lieutenants by Corselets of Steel Polished and Sanguin’d, and Studded with Nails of Gold; and the Ensigns had their Corselets of Silver Plate.’

The accession of William III caused a temporary shift away from red as the standard uniform colour, a move reflected in officers’ dress. In 1691/2, the officers of Stewart’s Regiment wore blue coats, lined with blue shalloon and decorated with gold. Abraham Creighton’s and Gustavus Hamilton’s officers had coats of scarlet broadcloth lined with scarlet shalloon and decorated with gold and silver. Lord Cutts’, the Earl of Drogheda’s, Coote’s and Rowe’s officers all had coats of crimson cloth lined with crimson shalloon.

By the early 1690s officers’ uniforms were purchased on a regimental basis at least while on active service. In 1702, in instructions for the forthcoming campaign in Flanders, the Duke of Marlborough made it clear that officers’ dress was to be uniform: ‘That the officers be all clothed in red, plain and uniform, which is expected they shall wear on all marches and other duties as well as days of Review, that no officer be on duty without his regimental scarf and spontoon, and whereas the officers of some regiments have pikes and others spontoons, “it is ordered that all provide spontoons according to the pattern which I have given to Major-General Sabine.”

**Soldiers’ uniforms**

Details of the early Restoration uniforms are scarce but it appears that the red coat was quickly confirmed as the standard dress of the British soldier. A receipt of 25 October 1661 records expenditure by Lord Wentworth on his regiment of Guards then in Dunkirk for 783 red tunics (probably for musketeers), 505 buff coats for pikemen and 1286 hats. This regiment was amalgamated with the First Foot Guards in 1665. When seen by Duke Cosmo in 1669 this regiment’s musketeers wore red coats turned up with light blue, and the pikemen coats of ‘silver’ colour turned up light blue. The Coldstream Guards had red coats lined green for musketeers and green lined red for pikemen. Distinguishing colours may also have been used on equipment: in 1667 the Coldstreamers were issued with 650 collars of bandoliers covered with black leather and ‘green strings’.

We have no reliable pictorial evidence of the style of coat worn by foot soldiers in the early years of the Restoration. Hollar’s drawings of Tangier demonstrate that by 1669 a French-style, knee-length coat had already been introduced into the English army. The coat has buttons all the way down to the hem, wide turnbacks on the sleeves, and is worn open under a bandoleer and sword baldrick, both some four inches wide. (From Divers Prospects in and about Tangier, exactly delineated by W. Hollar, His Majesties Designer)

These tiny figures in an etching by Hollar from sketches made at Tangier in 1669 show that the knee-length French-style coat had already been introduced into the English army. The coat has buttons all the way down to the hem, wide turnbacks on the sleeves, and is worn open under a bandoleer and sword baldrick, both some four inches wide.

(From Divers Prospects in and about Tangier, exactly delineated by W. Hollar, His Majesties Designer)

 Pikemen and musketeers. The sash gave the coat a distinct pinched waist, a style that remained the norm until the early 1680s when musketeers abandoned the sash to wear their swords on waist belts rather than baldricks.

The First Foot Guards at the 1685 Coronation were uniformed as follows: ‘The Private Soldiers were all New Cloathed in Coats of Red broad Cloth, Lined and Faced with Blem; Their Hats were Black, Laced about with Silver, turned up and garnished with Blem Ribbands. Their Breeches were Blem Broad Cloth, and their Stockings of Blem Worsted. The Musqueteries were Armed with Snaphance Musquets, with Sanguin’d Barrels, 3 Foot 8 Inches in length; good Swords in Waste Belts, and Collars of Bandoliers; And the Pike-men with Pikes 16 Foot long, each headed with a Three-Square Point of Steel, and good swords in broad Shoulder-belts, wearing also about their wastes, Sashes, or Scarfs of White Worsted, Fringed Blem.’

Although red was the customary colour of soldiers’ coats it was not universal. The Lord High Admiral’s Regiment wore yellow coats lined red from their formation in 1664, but converted to red coats lined yellow when they became Prince George of Denmark’s Regiment in 1685.
The Earl of Bath’s Regiment formed during the Monmouth Rebellion wore blue coats lined red, but in 1691 changed to red coats just as a number of new regiments were being raised in blue coats for the Irish campaign. Lord Lindsay’s Regiment which was on the Scottish establishment from 1694 to 1697 clad its Private Sentinels in coats and breeches of white Galloway cloth, and the sergeants in coats of stone grey and red breeches. In general, however, the end of the campaigns in Ireland, and the transfer of regiments to Flanders, saw a return to the red coat as the mark of the British soldier.

Waistcoats

The waistcoat presents a problem as in illustrations and eyewitness descriptions its presence or absence is hidden by the coat. Part of the difficulty is that the waistcoat was made from the previous year’s uniform coat and so does not appear on warrants or bills. That this was standard practice is confirmed by Marlborough’s order of 1702: ‘And whereas a complaint has been made about the expense in turning the soldier’s coats into waistcoats, ’tis ordered that all Colonels do the same out of the clothing money.’

It is not known what arrangements were made for newly raised regiments or for recruits who in their first year of service would not have had an old coat to convert into a waistcoat. We do not know when waistcoats were first worn, but one of the earliest references comes from 1688 with the celebrations on the birth of a son to King James. The soldiers garrisoning Carlisle began ‘throwing their hats into the fire at one health, their coats at the next, their waistcoats at a third’. Waistcoats were often the same colour as the lining of the uniform coat and it seems that the old coat was disassembled, with the clean inner face between cloth and lining becoming the new outer face. Waistcoats could be either sleeved or sleeveless, though any new sleeves had to be closely cut so that they could be worn under the coat sleeves.

The protection afforded by the two layers of the coat and waistcoat proved insufficient for service in Ireland. Dutch soldiers serving there were provided with ‘surtouts’ which would later be called ‘greatcoats’. Some ‘watch coats’ were usually provided for each regiment to be issued to men on sentry duty, though in 1689, 15,000 ‘Surtout White Coats’ were sent to Ireland in addition to uniform coats for new regiments being raised. Another type of soldier’s coat features in descriptions from the 1670s to 1700s. This is a grey coat often with black lining that appears to have been a fatigue or undress coat. For example, a deserter of Cornwall’s Regiment in 1687 is described as wearing a grey coat lined black; another deserter from the Coldstreams in 1705 wore a grey coat trimmed blue.

In 1660 the standard offensive weapon for a pikeman was a 16-foot pike; and for a musketeer, a matchlock musket with a set of bandoleers containing gunpowder. By 1704, the infantryman had a flintlock musket with his ammunition in paper cartridges kept in a cartridge box, and a socket bayonet to protect him from cavalry. These changes were partly responsible for a major improvement in infantry firepower, and for the continued development of new tactics based upon firepower to the exclusion of hand-to-hand combat.

From matchlock to flintlock

It had long been recognised that the matchlock was not suited to mounted use; costly and cumbersome wheel-lock pistols and carbines had to be produced instead. The Civil Wars saw these weapons gradually replaced by flintlock pistols and carbines for horse, while dragoons were issued with special flintlock muskets.

Though the flintlock was undoubtedly a superior weapon, its advantages over the matchlock have long been hugely overestimated by historians. Our knowledge of the performance of the flintlock comes mainly from trials held in the late 18th and early 19th centuries; no such trials are recorded for the matchlock. Modern comparison is based upon calculations of how long it would take to carry out the motions described in contemporary drill books. It has been claimed that a matchlock could take three to five minutes to load and fire while the same could be achieved with a flintlock in just 15 to 30 seconds. In fact drill manuals were training aids, not regulation procedures. Many ‘postures’ were illustrated as several distinct ‘motions’ to make them clearer. Unfortunately, different drill books contain different numbers of motions both for matchlock and flintlock drill, so direct comparison is difficult. By a happy chance the Abridgement of 1685 lists both matchlock and flintlock drill alongside each other. In all 32 motions are required to load and fire a matchlock, and 30 for the flintlock. The difference is a matter of a few seconds.

The accuracy of muskets depended upon the quality of the gunpowder and the gun barrel, as well as the tight fit of the bullet. Many flintlock muskets were merely old matchlocks fitted with new locks; so there is no reason for the flintlock to have been inherently more accurate. The matchlock did have one serious disadvantage in that it could only be used if the soldier had his match-cord alight.
Sentries used large amounts of match to guard against surprise attack and this could cause problems if stocks were limited, as, for example, during prolonged sieges. The flintlock needed a steady supply of new flints as these were easily broken (though only when the weapon was in use). The discovery of sources of more resilient flints in the 17th century did much to improve reliability. Flintlock design also became more robust and James II was careful to specify that his Guards should be equipped with French locks – the best then available – for their muskets.

The one area in which the flintlock had a definite advantage was in volley fire. The matchlock was prone to a slow ignition, as the match did not always burn brightly enough to set off the priming charge at once: the flintlock gave a much more certain and immediate explosion and a unified volley. This had not mattered with early musketry tactics which concentrated on keeping up a continuous rolling fire. By the closing years of the century, new Dutch tactics called for carefully controlled volleys by bodies of musketeers, fired in rapid succession. For this type of drill the flintlock was far more effective.

The change-over from matchlock to flintlock muskets was a slow process. The slow and erratic progress of this change-over among British Guards regiments, and in particular, the Coldstream Regiment, has caused a considerable amount of debate in historical circles. In April 1660, Monk ordered four companies of his regiment (the future Coldstreams) to trade in their matchlocks for flintlocks. Yet only a few years later in February 1665, 500 guardsmen added to the regiment were equipped with matchlocks even though destined for service with the fleet. Several theories have been put forward to account for this apparently retrograde step – corruption, thrift, and plain stupidity. The puzzle does not stop there. In 1667 two new companies of the Coldstreams were issued with a mixture of matchlocks and flintlocks: 60 muskets with bandoleers and 13 firelocks. The flintlocks gained ground in May 1672
when recruits for nine companies of the Coldstreams were
issued ‘91 snaphance muskets, 91 matchlock muskets, 182 collars of bandoleers’. The conversion back to flintlocks
became complete when in June 1683 the First Foot
Guards, and in January 1684 the Coldstreams, were
ordered to exchange their arms so that each company
would carry 43 snaphance muskets and 20 pikes.

In terms of modernity of equipment, Guards regi-
ments remained one step in advance of regular line
regiments, and it was not until the end of the century
that some units in remote outposts received replacements for
their matchlocks. In September 1684 five companies of
Trelawney’s Regiment (withdrawn from Tangier) were re-
equipped before going to Ireland, each company receiving:
‘20 long pikes, 12 snaphance muskets, 28 matchlocks, 40
collars of bandoleers’. In October two companies of the
Holland Regiment in Jersey were to be armed with: ‘26
matchlock muskets, 9 snaphance muskets, 18 long pikes’.

The Lord High Admiral’s Regiment served at sea and
had been armed with flintlock muskets as the matchlock
was considered a fire hazard on board ship. By 1685, the
regiment was restyled the Prince of Denmark’s Regiment,
and converted into a line regiment. During the expansion
of the army as a result of the Monmouth rebellion each
company received: ‘28 matchlock muskets, 6 snaphance
muskets, 16 long pikes, 34 bandoleers’. At the same time an
order was made for the 10 companies of the newly raised
Duke of Beaufort’s Regiment to be issued with 590
matchlock muskets, 120 snaphance muskets, 320 long
pikes and 710 bandoleers.

It is clear that the change from matchlock to flintlock
was a gradual process, and the advantages of the flintlock
had be weighed up at each stage against the additional cost.
The length of time for the change-over indicates that the
disadvantages of the matchlock were not as great as some
historians have made out.

Bandoleers and cartridges

Although in the late 17th century the bandoleer was
replaced by the cartridge box, the cartridge was by far the
earlier invention. From medieval times a twist of paper had
been the usual way of selling and carrying any powder, but
this was vulnerable to damp, and the powder liable to leak
out. The powder flask was introduced as a safer container,
but had the disadvantage that even with a complicated
spout arrangement it was difficult to ensure that a correctly
measured charge was poured into the musket barrel. The
‘collar of bandoleers’ with its hanging wooden or metal
‘boxes’ each drilled to contain exactly the right charge
solved the problem. Bandoleers had their own drawbacks:
horsemen found that they bounced up and down with the
motion of the horse, and grenadiers were concerned that
their burning grenade fuses might set off their bandoleers.
Horse soldiers preferred flasks or cartridge boxes attached
to their belt or saddle, and grenadiers also turned to the
cartridge box worn on a waist belt. The dragoons of the
New Model Army adopted the cartridge box in 1645.

Efforts were made to overcome the problem of the
paper cartridges leaking their contents. Since little could
be done about the paper the answer was to strengthen the
cartridge box. An order of 1662 for the Irish ‘Battle Axe
Guard’ contains the following specification: ‘Tyn [i.e. tin] Cartouch boxes covered with Leather of Calves Skin for
Muskets with Formers, prymeing boxes and neate [i.e. cows] leather girdles with white metal buckles 64 at 35 6d.’

The cartridge box was made of tin for strength and
covered in leather to keep out damp. The ‘Formers’
mentioned above were wooden sticks around which the
paper was rolled to give a cartridge of the correct length
and diameter to hold the correct charge; the loaded paper
cartridges were then usually secured with twine. The
priming box held the finer powder needed for the touch
pan of the musket. The drill for grenadiers set down in
1685 indicates that these small priming flasks were kept in
the cartridge box rather than on a cord as were those of
bandolier-equipped musketeers. The girdle or waist belt
was to be made from neate’s (cow’s) leather with a white or
bright silver buckle rather than one painted black to
protect it from rust.

The bayonet and the pike

The slow adoption of the bayonet – from its early recorded
use by the English in the garrisons at Dunkirk and Tangier
in 1662 and 1663, until widespread issue in the first decade
of the 1700s – suggests that it too, like the flintlock musket,
was not seen as an innovation that would immediately
change the nature of infantry tactics. At first only specialist
troops, without the protection of pikemen, were issued
with bayonets. Dragoons raised in 1672 and grenadiers
from their inception in 1677 were both issued with ‘plug’
bayonets, so called because the hilt plugged the muzzle of
the musket. The first regiments with pikemen to be issued
with bayonets were the Guards in 1686. Line regiments
were equipped with bayonets only in a piecemeal fashion,
and some regiments under Marlborough in the 1700s still
had not received their issue.

Cost was a major factor in the speed of introduction,
but the bayonet was obviously not considered so effective
that regiments without them would be seriously disadvan-
taged in combat. That the plug bayonet prevented the
musketeer firing his weapon was another major hindrance.
The disaster which befell government forces at Killiecranc-
kie in 1689 was attributed, quite falsely, to the plug
bayonet. Heavy losses sustained in Ireland from cavalry
The ‘sword bayonet’ aimed to combine the advantages of the infantryman’s hanger with those of the plug bayonet, but at 1 lb 4 oz in weight, made the musket unwieldy and unbalanced. The adoption of the socket bayonet effectively ended experiments of this kind. The blade is marked with a ‘running wolf’ – the mark of the blade makers of Solingen. By the late 17th century, many Germans were working from weapons factories that they established at Hounslow near London. (Royal Armouries, Tower of London)

Right and below: Charles II’s departure from Scheveningen in Holland in May 1660. The painting is usually said to show red-coated British Foot Guards. However, the etching of the same scene by Nicolaus Visscher identifies these soldiers as Dutch. The painting copies the engraving. The artist may have chosen to paint the soldiers’ coats red, the colour he saw being worn in London. (Private Collection)

attacks on Danish regiments armed with bayonets but no pikes, also did little to encourage adoption.

Efforts were made to overcome the disadvantages of the plug bayonet, particularly in France. Louis XIV watched a demonstration by his Guards using an improved bayonet secured to the side of the barrel by rings. Unfortunately when the Guards fired a volley many of the bayonets fell off, Louis XIV was not impressed, but experiments continued. The answer came finally with the ‘socket bayonet’ – secured by a slot that locked into a lug on the barrel, allowing the musket to be loaded and fired with bayonet in place. This tipped the scales in favour of the bayonet and the pike fell into disuse at the start of the 18th century.

Standardisation of equipment
During King James’s reign, special efforts were made to standardise the equipment used by the army. These efforts
are particularly well summarised in the regulations for musters of 21 February 1687:

'The Musketeers of our regiment of Foot-Guards to have Snaphance Musquets, with bright barrels, of 3 foot 8 inches long in the barrel, with good swords, bandoliers, and bayonets; and the Pikemen (as also the Pikemen of all other regiments) to have pikes 16 feet long, with good swords. Musketeers of all other regiments of Foot (excepting our

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Troop</th>
<th>Coats &amp; Cloaks</th>
<th>Carbine belts</th>
<th>Grenadiers</th>
<th>Men</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>King's Troop</td>
<td>Scarlet lined blue</td>
<td>Velvet laced gold &amp; silver; red hoops &amp; holster caps embroidered with royal cipher &amp; crown</td>
<td>Red coats, lined blue with blue loops tufted yellow; Caps lined the same and blue round mark on the outside</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queen's Troop</td>
<td>Scarlet lined blue</td>
<td>Green velvet laced gold; green hoops &amp; holster caps embroidered with same cipher and crown</td>
<td>Green loops with yellow tufts</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke's Troop</td>
<td>Scarlet lined blue</td>
<td>Velvet laced silver on yellow; hoops &amp; holster caps embroidered on yellow, with same cipher and crown as the King's</td>
<td>Yellow coat loops</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regiment</th>
<th>Coats &amp; Cloaks</th>
<th>Carbine belts</th>
<th>Saddle Cloths</th>
<th>Troops</th>
<th>Men</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Earl of Oxford's House</td>
<td>Blue lined red</td>
<td>Laced with gold on buff with a red edging; hoops &amp; holster caps with royal cipher embroidered on blue.</td>
<td>Embroidered blue and yellow on red with the royal cipher; caps the same with royal cipher</td>
<td>(Unknown)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royal Regt. of Dragoons</td>
<td>Red lined blue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regiment</th>
<th>Coats</th>
<th>Stockings Blue</th>
<th>Breeches Blue</th>
<th>Sash</th>
<th>Cays</th>
<th>Grenadier caps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Foot Guards</td>
<td>Red lined blue</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Lined blue, tufted blue &amp; red, with royal cipher crowned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coldstream Guards</td>
<td>Red lined green</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lined green, with green tassels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royal Regiment</td>
<td>Red lined white</td>
<td>Light grey</td>
<td>Light grey</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Lined white, 'the lions face proper' crowned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queen's Regiment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admiral's Regiment</td>
<td>Yellow lined red</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holland Regiment</td>
<td>Red lined flesh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duchess of York's Regt.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: *A General and Compleat List Military... As Established at the time of the review upon Putney Heath the First of October 1684* by Nathan Brooks
The Restoration period
1: Trooper, King’s Troop of Horse Guards, 1661
2: Musketeer, Lord Wentworth’s Foot Guards, 1661
3: Pikeman, Coldstream Regiment of Foot Guards, 1669
The regimental tailor at work, 1686
1: Regimental tailor
2: Soldier, Prince George of Denmark's Regiment of Foot
3: Officer, Earl of Oxford's Horse
4: Soldier
Tangiers
1: Officer of the garrison, 1669
2: Musketeer, Governor’s Regiment, 1669
3: Drummer, Coldstream Guards, 1671
The Virginia Colonies, 1677
1: Musketeer, Lord Admiral's Maritime Regiment of Foot
2: Pikeman, Coldstream Regiment of Foot Guards
3: Grenadier, Colonel Herbert Jeffery's Regiment of Foot
Sedgemoor, 1685
1: Dragoon, Royal Regiment of Dragoons
2: General officer
3: Piper, Earl of Dumbarton’s Regiment of Foot
The regiments of horse at Sedgemoor, 1685
1: Trooper, First Troop of Horse Guards
2: Trooper, Earl of Oxford’s Horse
3: Horse grenadier, First Troop of Horse Grenadiers
The campaigns in Ireland
1: Musketeer, Lord Cutt's Regiment of Foot, King William's Army 1691
2: Pikeman, Earl of Bath's Regiment of Foot, King William's Army 1691
3: Trooper, Galmoy's Regiment of Horse, King James' Army 1692
Artillery
1: Fuzilier, Royal Regiment of Fuzileers, 1685
2: Gunner, The Train of Artillery in Ireland, King William’s Army, 1689
3: Gunner, The Train of Artillery in Flander, 1695
4: Pioneer, The Train of Artillery, King James’ Army, 1688
Regiment of Fusiliers, the Granadiers, and the company of Miners) to have Matchlock and Snaphance Musquets; the barrels whereof to be 3 foot 6 inches long, good swords, and bandoliers. Our Royal Regiment of Fusiliers to have Snaphance Musquets, strapped, with bright barrels of 3 foot 8 inches long, with good swords, cartouche boxes, and bayonets. All the Foot Granadiers of Our Army, both regimented and non-regimented, to have long carbines, strapped; the barrels whereof to be 3 foot 2 inches long, cartouche boxes, bayonets, grenade pouches, and hammer hatches. The Company of Miners to have long carbines, strapped; the barrels to be 3 foot 2 inches in length, cartouche boxes, bayonets, and extraordinary hammer hatches. The Dragoons to have Snaphance Musquets, strapped, with bright barrels of 3 foot 8 inches long, cartouche boxes, bayonets, grenade pouches, buckets, and hammer hatches.'

The standardisation of military affairs begun by James did not continue into the reign of William and Mary when the introduction of Dutch ideas and the pressures of war caused a diverse variety of equipment to enter service. In March 1689 Beveridge’s Foot were issued with equal numbers of matchlocks and flintlocks. In April 1690 the two new regiments of Pembroke and Torrington each received 1896 Dutch snaphance muskets, bayonets, and cartridge boxes with girdles. In December 1695 the number of pikemen in a company was reduced to 14, as against 46 musketeers, being effectively the conversion of one six-man file.

Table B: James II’s army on Hounslow Heath, 1686

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regiment</th>
<th>Clothing colour</th>
<th>Number of Troops/Cays.</th>
<th>Men each</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Horse on the right</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earl of Oxford</td>
<td>Blue lined red</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maj-Gen Worthen’s</td>
<td>Red lined red</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queen Dowager’s</td>
<td>Red lined green</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earl of Shrewsbury’s</td>
<td>Red lined buff</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earl of Peterborough’s</td>
<td>Red lined red</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The 1st Bn.-under Col. Stradling</td>
<td>Red lined with blue, blue breeches and stockings</td>
<td>7, one of them grenadiers</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The King’s 3rd Bn. under Cpt. Reresby</td>
<td>As above</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earl of Craven’s 1st Bn. under Maj Hwet</td>
<td>Red lined blue, blue breeches, white stockings</td>
<td>6, plus a half coy of grenadiers</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Bn. of Scotch Guards, under Maj. Murray</td>
<td>Red lined white, white breeches &amp; stockings</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George’s</td>
<td>Red lined yellow, grey breeches &amp; stockings</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col. Ogleston’s</td>
<td>Red lined ash, ash coloured breeches &amp; stockings</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earl Huntington’s</td>
<td>Red lined yellow, yellow breeches, grey stockings</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earl of Suffolk’s</td>
<td>Red lined white, blue breeches &amp; stockings</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marq. of Worcester’s</td>
<td>Red lined tawny, tawny breeches &amp; stockings</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earl of Bath’s</td>
<td>Blue lined red, breeches &amp; stockings</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col. Kirk’s</td>
<td>Red lined green, green breeches, white stockings</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earl of Dumbarton’s</td>
<td>Red lined white, grey breeches &amp; stockings</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earl of Plymouth’s</td>
<td>Red lined green</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horse on the left</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earl of Scarsdale’s</td>
<td>Red lined yellow</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earl of Arran’s</td>
<td>Red lined white, with white silk sashes</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Queen’s</td>
<td>Red lined yellow</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dragoons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The King’s</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Princess of Denmark’s</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Queen’s</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Fusiliers</td>
<td>Red lined yellow, grey breeches &amp; stockings</td>
<td>12 (one of miners)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: A List of King James’s Army on Hounslow Heath, as they lay encamped... June 30th 1686.
GRENADIERS
In May 1677 an order was issued that two soldiers from each company of the Guards regiments were to be trained as grenadiers. Accordingly the ten companies of the Coldstream Regiment each received 20 grenadier pouches, 20 hatchets and girdles and 20 ‘Fusees’ or flintlock muskets. In April 1678 an order was made that a company of grenadiers consisting of 1 captain, 2 lieutenants, 3 sergeants, 3 corporals and 100 privates be added to each of the eight senior foot regiments of the army. Their arms were to be ‘103 Fusees with slings, 103 cartridge-boxes with girdles, 103 grenade pouches, 103 bayonets, 103 hatchets with girdles to them, 3 halberds (for sergeants) and 2 partisans (for officers)’. The muskets issued are specified elsewhere as ‘long carbines strapped; the barrels whereof to be 3 foot 2 inches long’; the straps allowed the musket to be slung over the grenadier’s back while he was using his hatchet or throwing a grenade.

As grenadier companies had no pikemen and were often stationed in advance, or on the wings of the regiment, they were issued bayonets for protection against cavalry. It appears that grenadiers were not at first issued swords though the evidence is contradictory. As the grenadier carried his four bombs in a pouch slung over his left shoulder his cartridges were kept in a pouch on his waist belt.

The first description of the British grenadier comes from John Evelyn’s diary in June 1678. He describes them as ‘new sort of soldiers with a pouch full of hand grenades’. They wore ‘furred caps with coped crowns like Janizaries, which gave them a fierce expression: while some wore long hoods hanging down behind, as fools are pictured. Their clothing was piebald, yellow and red.’ Two different styles of cap are described, one with a high crown and a fur edging, and another with a hanging bag. Both types appear in French illustrations of the period, and were probably devised by the regiments themselves.

The most detailed early description is of the grenadiers of the First Foot Guards at James II’s coronation in 1685: ‘The Granadiers (viz. Two Companies) were Cloathed...’

A grenadier officer’s mitre cap of c. 1690. Officers still had a large degree of freedom in their choice of uniform, and grenadier company officers seem to have copied the unusual style of dress of their men. The grenadier cap appeared in various styles: with hanging bags or hoods, high conical points with tassels, or as decorated caps. This example is some eight inches tall and is decorated with thistles denoting Scottish origins. (Scottish United Services Museum)
as the Musquettiers, but distinguished by Caps of Red Cloth Lined with Blew Shallo, and Laced with Silver Galoon about the Edges: And on the Frontlets of said Caps (which were very large and high) was Imbrodered the Kings Cipher and crown. Each of these Granadier was Armed with a long Carabine Strap, the Barrel thereof 3 Foot 2 Inches in length: a cartouch-box, Bionet, Granada-Pouch, and a Hammer-Hatchet.' On the same occasion, the Coldstream Regiment's grenadiers had caps lined and faced with 'Blew Shalloon, and Laced with Gold Galoon, and Imbrodered on the Frontlets with the Kings Cipher'.
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**Table C: British Regiments at Tillroy Camp, 1689**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regiment</th>
<th>Colour</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Horse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke of Ormond</td>
<td>Red lined blue</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Horse Grenadiers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke of Ormond</td>
<td>Red lined blue</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2nd Troop, Horse Guards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford</td>
<td>White lined scarlet</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>Should be blue lined scarlet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Foot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talsham Guards</td>
<td>Red lined white</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>Coldstream Guards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of the Scotch Guards</td>
<td>Red lined white</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>7th Foot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuzileers</td>
<td>Red lined yellow</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>Raised 1688, disbanded 1699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hales</td>
<td>Red lined white</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>Raised 1688 as Bevil Skelton’s;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’Farrell Fuzileers</td>
<td>Red lined green</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>disbanded 1701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FitzPatrick</td>
<td>Red lined green</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>Raised 1688 as Bevil Skelton’s;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Churchill</td>
<td>Red lined buff</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>disbanded 1701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodges</td>
<td>Red lined red</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>3rd Foot, Holland Regiment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count Shamburg</td>
<td>Red lined white</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>16th Foot (white lining in 1691)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From *A List of our Army as it was drawn up at Tillroy Camp, 1689* – this is an extract showing British regiments only.
THE PLATES

A: The Restoration
A1: Trooper, The King’s Troop of Horse Guards, 1661
The Horse Guards took a prominent part in the coronation of Charles II and were described by Sir Edmund Walker as ‘The Kings Horse Guard, all well mounted, having Buffe Coates, with white Armour, their Horses furnished with Hooses (being a short Foot cloth) with red Scarfes, & plumes of Red & white feathers’. Eight years later the Horse Guards are recorded wearing red coats with blue facings. Hollar’s engraving of the Horse Guards gives no indication of colours, though small figures in the background of an oil painting of the coronation confirm that buff coats were worn.

A2: Musketeer, Lord Wentworth’s Foot Guards, 1662
In 1662 Dunkirk was sold to the French and Lord Wentworth’s Regiment returned to England. The style of dress is French reflecting the regiment’s re-equipment in Dunkirk. Although the knee-length coat had not yet appeared, the cassack had replaced the doublet for the French Guards. The matchlock musket was now used without a rest while the bandoleer of charges continued to contain the musketeer’s gunpowder, and was not to be replaced completely by the cartridge box until after the end of the century.

A3: Pikeman, The Coldstream Regiment of Foot Guards, 1669
During his visit to England, Duke Cosmo noted that the pikemen of the Guards regiments wore reverse coat colours to musketeers. Pikemen had discarded their armour in the Civil Wars mainly because it was heavy on the march, and offered little protection against musketry. The more static duties of peacetime encouraged the reintroduction of armour, but by the late 1670s it disappeared once again.

B: The Regimental tailor at work, 1686
B1: Regimental tailor
The quality of uniforms was poor and only rarely was any attempt made to offer a choice of sizes. The regimental tailor had a great deal of work to do whenever new uniforms were issued, since the garments needed extensive adjustments before they would fit many individuals. The tailor wears a simple shirt made with no yoke over the
The main role of the pikeman was to protect the musketeer from cavalry, and when there was a threat to its flanks a unit would form a pike ring. English soldiers in Portugal in the 1660s fought off overwhelming numbers of Spanish cavalry by forming a pike and musket square. From The Wars of William III and Queen Anne by Brig-Gen Kane, 1735. (Private Collection)
shingles. A simple strip of linen is worn as a cravat, protecting the neck from the rubbing of coat and waistcoat. The breeches are cut baggy as there was little stretch in the cloth used and when secured below the knee a tight pair of breeches could make bending the legs difficult, as when kneeling during volley firing.

**B2: Soldier in sleeved waistcoat, Prince George of Denmark’s Regiment of Foot**
This soldier is undergoing the annual task of having his previous year’s uniform coat converted into a waistcoat. His regiment wears a red coat lined yellow, and his old coat has been dismantled and the cloth turned inside out, so that his waistcoat will be yellow lined red. The cloth is relatively clean as the face, earlier protected between coat and lining, is now outermost.

**B3: Officer, The Earl of Oxford’s Horse**
In 1686 the Earl of Oxford set down the first recorded uniform code for his officers: ‘All the Captains coats are to be of blue cloth faced with the same, the lace of the said coats to be of gold, laid double upon every seam and slits with a gold foot between the two laces. The buttons of gold thread with a gold fringe round the sleeves, under which must be laid the same lace as down the seams. All the Lieutenants and Cornets’ coats must be the same as the Captain’s, only a single broad lace on each seam and slits and sleeves, the fringe excepted. The Quartermaster’s coats must be the same cloth as the rest of the Officer’s with a gold edging down before, at the pockets, slits and round the sleeves, with a broad lace round the sleeves, as the Lieutenants and Cornets, and gold buttons as the rest of the Officers. The pockets of all the coats must be of the same fashion, viz., with two long slits on each side. Each officer must have a black hat edged with a gold lace and a white feather. The trimming of the hats must be yellow as also the cravat strings.’

**B4: Soldier in watch coat**
The coat and waistcoat proved adequate for normal service, but guard duty at night or in bad weather required extra clothing. ‘Watch coats’ were issued to men chosen for sentry duty. Later experience of prolonged campaigning led to the introduction of ‘surtout’ coats as general issue.

**C: Tangier, 1669**
**C1: Officer of the Tangier Garrison**
The officers in Hollar’s 1669 paintings of Tangier all wear clothing and hats of a light grey material. A waistcoat, also light grey, is secured with a cord rather than a sash. Coat sleeves are short and decorated with coloured ribbons. Ribbons of the same colour appear at the shoulders of the coat, and on the garters and shoes.

The infantry square was not a static formation. Here are diagrams for a battalion in square to advance to engage the enemy, to stand with its grenadiers withdrawn, and to march with ‘wings’ of grenadiers. From The Wars of William III and Queen Anne by Brig-Gen Kane, 1735. (Private Collection)

**C2: Musketeer, the Governor’s Regiment**
A figure in Hollar’s watercolours of Tangier, possibly a sergeant, appears in a grey coat; but the common soldiers all wear red coats, some with blue facings, breeches and stockings, and some with the same items in green. The musket was used without a rest and bandoleers were worn as crossbelts with a baldric supporting a ‘hanger’ sword.

**C3: Drummer, The Coldstream Guards, 1671**
This drummer is based upon an illustration of the funeral of Monk which took place in the year after Hollar’s visit to Tangier. Most companies had two drummers although the ‘king’s’ companies of Wentworth’s and Russell’s Guards
each had three. When, in 1665, these two regiments were merged they had one drum-major and 36 drummers between 24 companies.

**D: The Virginia Expedition, 1677**

In 1677 a composite regiment of 500 men drawn from the Foot Guards regiments, the Holland Regiment and the Lord Admiral’s Regiment was dispatched to put down a rebellion in the Virginia colony. The regiment was reinforced by 630 ‘recruits’, who may have been experienced men drawn from other regiments.

**D1 Musketeer, The Lord Admiral’s Maritime Regiment of Foot**

This soldier wears the yellow coat of the Admiral’s Regiment, and carries a flintlock musket which was standard issue for the regiment because of duties on board ships where the matchlock was impractical. He wears a waist sash of the type issued to new recruits in 1678 and shown in a painting of 1680.

The disappearance of the pike led to a reconsideration of infantry tactics now that they were based entirely on firepower. This plate shows two formations for a battalion in three ranks: (above) for the new shallower firing formation, and (below) drawn up six ranks deep. From The Wars of William III and Queen Anne by Brig-Gen Kane, 1735. (Private Collection)

**D2: Pikeman, The Coldstream Regiment of Foot Guards**

The 500 men sent on the Virginia Expedition who had been transferred from other regiments are said to have been armed in the usual ratio of one pike to two muskets. This figure is based upon a painting of 1680 showing the Coldstream Guards at Horse Guards Parade. His sword is supported on a baldric rather than a waist belt, and unusually he wears no waist sash.

**D3: Grenadier, Col. Herbert Jeffery’s Regiment of Foot**

This figure represents the 630 ‘recruits’ who accompanied the Virginia Expedition and were placed in a composite regiment under Col. Herbert Jeffery. Each man was issued with a red cap, a large red coat lined with blue baize, a shirt, a coarse calico cravat, breeches, stockings and shoes. No pikes were issued, instead 300 matchlock and 200 flintlock muskets were provided, all with bandoleers. In addition 500 ‘cartouch boxes covered with leather, with girdles’, 500 hatchets and 1500 hand grenades were also supplied. The fact that much of this was grenadier equipment suggests that the ‘recruits’ for the regiment were mainly veterans. Our reconstruction is based on the painting of grenadier Captain Francis Hawley, but with an earlier form of furred grenadier cap.
E: Sedgemoor, 1685

E1: Dragoon, The Royal Regiment of Dragoons
At the battle of Sedgemoor in 1685, the dragoons fought on foot on the flanks of the infantry battalions. The dragoon was not a 'skirmisher' in modern terms and in the open field fought in formed bodies, which drilled and manoeuvred in much the same way as infantry. At a review in 1684 the Royal Dragoons were 'Coated and cloaked red lined blue, housings embroidered with blue and yellow upon red, with the Royal cipher, holster caps the same, with the Royal Cipher'. Instructions from 1686/7 state: 'The Dragoons to have Snapdance Musquets, strapped, with bright barrels of 3 foot 8 inches long, cartouche boxes, bayonets, grenade pouches, buckets, and hammer hatchets.' The dragoons of 1685 do not appear to have been equipped with grenades and it is unlikely that these were general issue.

E2: Field Officer
The dress of this officer is taken from the statue of Sir John Clobery in Winchester Cathedral. Clobery was colonel of a regiment raised during the time of Charles II and disbanded shortly afterwards. The monument dates from 1687 and shows the correct dress of that date. At the coronation in April 1685: 'The Officers of this First Regiment of Foot Guards were exceedingly richly habited; some in coats of cloth of gold, others in crimson velvet embroidered or laced with gold or silver; but most of them in fine scarlet cloth, buttoned down the breast, and on the facings of the sleeves with silver plate. Their scarfs (which they wore about their waists) were either network or gold or silver, or taffeta richly fringed with gold and silver, and their hats were adorned with tours of feathers.'

E3: Piper, The Earl of Dumbarton's Regiment of Foot
As an 'English' regiment, Dumbarton's was forbidden to have pipers on its strength: bagpipes had been banned in Cromwell's time and anyone caught playing them was threatened with banishment to Barbados. In 1671 in his book Pallas Armata, Sir James Turner, who served in the Scottish Army, wrote 'any captain may keep a piper in his company and maintain him too for no pay is allowed him, ... the bagpipe is good enough musick for them who love it, but sure not so good as the Almain [i.e. German] Whistle.' A painting of the regiment at Tanguier in 1683 shows pipers wearing the normal regimental uniform: red coats lined white, with grey breeches and stockings.

F: Horse Regiments at Sedgemoor, 1685

F1: Trooper, The First Troop of Horse Guards
When James II succeeded Charles II the troops of the Horse Guards were numbered First, Second and Third. Sandford's History of the Coronation of James II gives the following description of the Horse Guards in April 1685: 'The Gentlemen of this Troop (200 in Number) were all new Clothed in Coats and Cloaks of Scarlet Cloth, lined with Blew Chalon: The Facings of their Sleeves, of the same Stuff, were laced about with a figured Gallow of Silver (edged with Gold) two Inches broad: Their Buttons were of Silver Plate: They had each of them a good Buff Coat, and a large Pair of Gauntlet Gloves of the same: And in their Hats (which were Black, and turned up on one side, and edged about with a broad Silver lace) they wore large Blew Knots of broad Taffeta Ribband: which Blew being the Distinguishing Colour
of their Troop from the others, the Heads of their Horses were adorned with knots of the like Ribband. They were extraordinary well Mounted, and excellently well equipped, having their Housse and Holster-caps of Scarlet Cloth, Embroidered with the King's Cipher and Crown within a Border of Foliage. Each of these Gentlemen was Armed and Accoutred with a good broad sword, and large Buff Shoulder-Belt, a Case of Pistols, a Carabine, with a carabine Belt of Blew Velvet 5 Inches broad, bordered with figur'd Silver Galoon, (edged with a narrow Gold Lace) in breadth 2 Inches, so that not above an Inch in breadth of the Velvet appeared.

As for the officers of the King's Troop: 'The Officers of this Troop were richly Habited, either in Coats of Crimson Velvet Embroidered with Gold and Silver, or of Fine Scarlet Cloth Embroidered or laced with Gold or Silver, or both intermixed. They wore Scarfs about their Wastes, either of Gold or Silver Network, or Crimson Taffeta, richly Fringed with Gold or Silver on the edges, and with a deep Fringe of the same at the Ends. Their Cloaks were also of Fine Scarlet Cloth, Embroidered on the Capes and down before with Gold or Silver, or both intermixed. In their Hats they wore Tours of White feathers; Their Houses and Holster-Caps being of Crimson Velvet, were richly Embroidered and Embossed with Gold and Silver: And the Manes, Cruppers and Tayls of their Horses were garnished with large knots of Blew Taffeta Ribband.'

The Second Troop had fittings and ribbons of green; lace was gold edged silver, with the King's Cipher within a border of royal badges. The Third Troop had silver lace and yellow as its distinguishing colour.

**F2: Trooper, The Earl of Oxford's Horse**

The Earl of Oxford's Horse, also sometimes confusingly called the Horse Guards, wore the royal colours in reverse giving the famous blue coats lined red. This figure is based on a portrait of an officer of the regiment which shows troopers in the background; details are filled in from written descriptions. Grey hats were a distinction of this regiment, though pot helmets were probably worn in action.
The colonel’s colour of the Royal Regiment of Fuzileers. This and the following flags are taken from a book of pen and watercolour drawings which has been dated to c.1686. The colour illustrated is ‘Collonel… The Lord Dartmouth’s old Colours’; and so may no longer have been carried by 1686. It has a white background with a ‘trophy’ of standards and arms in gold. The stag’s head was the armorial badge of the Earl of Dartmouth who was the regiment’s colonel at this time. The lieutenant-colonel’s colour is white, with a red cross of St. George, and with ‘trophies’ in the quarters; the major’s is similar, with a red pile wavy in the first quarter. Another illustrated colour is similar to the lieutenant-colonel’s, but with a cannon in the centre. From Drawings of Colours and Standards of the British Army, Temple of James II, Royal Library at Windsor Castle. (The Royal Collection 1933 Her Majesty The Queen)

F3: Horse Grenadier, The First Troop of Horse Guards

Each troop of Horse Guards had an attachment of 60 ‘Horse Grenadiers’. Whereas Horse Guard troopers were gentlemen volunteers, these grenadiers were recruited men raised in the normal way by beat of the drum.

Sandford describes the first troop thus: “The Grenadiers (60 in Number) were Cloathed in Coats of Fine Red Cloth, Lined and Faced with Blew Chalon, and Buttoned with White Mettle hatched with Silver. On the Brest, Arms, and Facings of the Sleeves, they wore large Loops of Fine Blew replacing the numeral; the lieutenant-colonel’s is as the first captain’s, but without the numeral; and the colonel’s is a plain black flag bearing only the gold sun in splendour device. (The Royal Collection 1933 Her Majesty The Queen)
Worsted, Edged and Tufed with Black and White. The Crowns of their Caps were raised high to a point, falling back at the top in Form of a Capouch, which were turned up before and behind, Triangular, and Faced with Blew Plush; and on the back of the Crowns was a Roundel or Granada-Ball also of the same. Their Cloaks were of Fine Red Cloth, Lined with Blew, and their Hats being Black, and Laced about with Silver, were buttoned up, and adorned with Knots of Blew Taffeta Ribband, as were the Heads of their Horses. Their Holster-caps and Housses (scalloped on the Edges) of Red Cloth, were Embroidered with the Royal Cipher and crown, and bordered with Foliage; so that being annexed or depending upon His Majesties First Troop of Horse-Guards, this Troop was agreeable unto them in all their Colours. Each of these Granadiers was armed and Accoutred with a long Carbine strap'd, a good Sword, with a waste Buff Belt, a case of Pistols, cartouch-Box, Bucket, Bionet, and Granada-Pouch.'

The Horse Grenadiers of the second troop were similarly dressed with green as their distinguishing colour; coat loops were edged and tufted with black and white, and
buttons were gilt; lace was gold; hats and horses were decorated with green ribbons. The third troop’s grenadiers were distinguished with yellow; buttons were of white metal and lace was silver.

G: Campaigns in Ireland

G1: Musketeer, Lord Cutts’ Regiment of Foot; King William’s Army, 1691
The regiment commanded by Lord Cutts in 1691 had been formed in 1674 in the service of the Dutch and was disbanded in 1690 in the demobilisation that followed the end of the War of the Grand Alliance. The Irish Treasury Papers for 17 April 1691 record the issue of ‘Red kersey and buff baize’ cloth for coats, ‘white woollen hose’ and ‘300 gross [that is, 300 x 144] buttons’. The style of dress is based upon an illustration of Dutch infantry of 1689. The bandoleer, although still in general use, was being supplanted in some regiments by a cartridge box worn on a shoulder belt.

G2: Pikeman, The Earl of Bath’s Regiment of Foot; King William’s Army, 1691
The Earl of Bath’s Regiment was unusual in 1689, it being the only foot regiment clothed in blue coats (lined red). In 1691, like many newer regiments, it converted from blue to red coats. By the early 1690s the pike was in decline, and the number of pikemen in a company was soon further reduced. However, in the campaigns in Ireland the pike proved its worth in defending the inexperienced English regiments from the crack Jacobite cavalry; meanwhile veteran Danish regiments with no pikes were roughly handled.

G3: Trooper, Galmoy’s Regiment of Horse; King James’s Army, 1691
The horse was the best part of the Jacobite army and saved the foot from destruction at the battle of the Boyne. By this time the dress and equipment of the horse had been standardised throughout western Europe with only pot helmets and back and breast plates distinguishing the different formations. This trooper of Galmoy’s Regiment still wears a buffcoat under his uniform coat, though by this date many horse regiments had opted for cloth waistcoats.

H: Artillery

H1: Fuzileer, The Royal Regiment of Fuzileers
In 1685 Lord Dartmouth raised a regiment of fuzileers to serve as a guard for the artillery. Matchlock muskets with their smouldering lengths of match-cord were a hazard among the open powder casks of the artillery, and the new regiment was instead armed completely with flintlocks; these had straps so that they could be worn over the shoulder. The men were dressed in red coats lined yellow, with grey breeches and stockings. They were equipped with bayonets, and with cartridge boxes worn on waist girdles. One company was designated as the ‘Myners company’ and was given ‘Byonets Extraordinary’ – possibly sword bayonets. The miners also received ‘Copper Plates whereon are cast Trophies for Myners upon Cartouch Boxes’.

H2: Gunner, The Train of Artillery in Ireland, King William’s Army, 1689
The gunners accompanying the train of artillery to Ireland in 1689 wore uniforms of a colour inspired by the recent triumph of William of Orange: ‘Blue coats lined orange bayes with brass buttons and hats with orange silk
galoone'. This did not yet signal the adoption of blue as the distinguishing colour of the British artillery since many foot regiments also wore blue at this time; the gunners soon reverted to red coats.

**H3: Gunner, The Train of Artillery in Flanders, 1695**

This figure is based on a uniform issue recorded in the Ordnance Office 'Entry Book of Bills': '1696, March 31st. For the service of ye Train of Artillery in Flanders. For Gunners. Crimson cloth coats, lined with blue serge with a flapp on ye button hole side & flapp pockets, ye slee ve's faced with blue cloth with a gold edging round ye cuff & buttons of blue cloth topt with gold & ye button holes hoopt with ye same ... 144. Blew cloth wastrous lined, ye body & slee ve's with garlix ye skirts & sleeve hands with blue Padua, flapp pockets small brass buttons & silk holes ... 144. Blue cloth breeches to button at knees, lined with linen & leather pockets brass buttons & holes of blue silk ... 144 pr. Blue worsted stockings for rowling ... 144 pr. Strong shoes of neats leather waxi ... 144 pr Black hatts edged with gold & hatbands ... 144. Gloves topt & lined ... 144 pr.'

**H4: Pioneer, The Train of Artillery; King James's Army, 1688**

Pioneers were essential in an army that hoped to move heavy siege guns: roads had to be widened or improved, and bridges constructed or strengthened. When the destination was reached, pioneers were needed to construct gun positions and to dig trenches. The new train of 1688 had its own pioneers dressed all in red. Later in the same year, probably when William of Orange took command, the pioneers were issued with a cap embroidered with a shovel, a blue coat lined orange, an orange waistcoat, and blue breeches and stockings.
Left: Maj. Gen. Randolph Egerton, who commanded a regiment of horse during the Civil War and earned his reward after the Restoration when he became lieutenant of the King’s Troop of Horse Guards. His coat is red, though not of official issue. Beneath it he wears a full buffcoat with gold decoration along its sleeves. The cavalrmen in the background wear back and breast plates over their buffcoats and are engaged in close combat with pistols rather than swords. Though one of them wears a helmet, most have wide-brimmed hats. Oil painting by Jan Wyck, 1672. (Private Collection)

Above: An engraved view of the Tangier colony made from watercolours painted by Hollar on a visit in 1669. The two officers wear knee-length coats over short waistcoats with baggy knee-length breeches. The original Hollar watercolours show officers wearing grey clothing unlike the red of common soldiers. It has been suggested that this was a light ‘tropical’ uniform. Though the cloth used may have been lighter in weight, the cut was much the same as that worn in England. From Divers prospects in and about Tangier, exactly delineated by W. Hollar, his Majesties designer, AD 1669. (The David Carter Collection)