

consideration as defined in 10CFR50.92(c).

TORUS VENT MODE (Specification 4.7 B.2.c)

1. The proposed amendment will not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated. The Standby Gas Treatment System (SGTS) is not the initiator of any accident. SGTS may be required to operate for a design basis loss of coolant accident or for a refueling accident in order to mitigate the consequences of said accident by providing a filtered exhaust path to minimize the potential release of radioactive material to the environs. The proposed amendment does not reduce or change the operational requirements for the SGTS for an accident.

2. The proposed amendment will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated. The SGTS is not an accident initiator, therefore, the proposed amendment will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. The proposed amendment will not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. The proposed change will incorporate into the specification an existing clarification. Use of the SGTS filters during Torus venting results in an insignificant flow through the filters. Further, maintaining humidity control prevents any adsorber degradation. Past sample testing on a six month calendar interval when 720 hours operating time has not accumulated has shown no detectable impact.

Based on the above discussion, we have determined that this change does not constitute a significant hazards consideration as defined in 10CFR50.92(c).

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

Local Public Document Room location: Brooks Memorial Library, 224 Main Street, Brattleboro, Vermont 05301.

Attorney for licensee: John A. Ritsher, Esquire, Ropes and Gray, One International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110-2624.

NRC Project Director: Walter R. Butler.

Previously Published Notices of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The following notices were previously published as separate individual notices. The notice content was the same as above. They were published as individual notices either because time did not allow the Commission to wait for this biweekly notice or because the action involved exigent circumstances. They are repeated here because the biweekly notice lists all amendments issued or proposed to be issued involving no significant hazards consideration.

For details, see the individual notice in the **Federal Register** on the day and page cited. This notice does not extend the notice period of the original notice.

Power Authority of The State of New York, Docket No. 50-286, Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3, Westchester County, New York

Date of application for amendment: November 19, 1994.

Brief description of amendment: The proposed amendment would revise Section 3.10.8 and the associated Bases of the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3 Technical Specifications. Specifically, the proposed revision would reduce the maximum allowable control rod drop time from 2.4 to 1.8 seconds. The change would remove, for testing purposes, the allowance for a seismic event (0.6 seconds), which had been integral to the 2.4 second safety analysis basis. Since a seismic event cannot be simulated during the rod drop time test, the more conservative testing acceptance criteria value of 1.8 seconds is needed to ensure that the plant is within its design basis. This proposed revision will support control rod testing which is required during startup from the current outage.

Date of publication of individual notice in Federal Register: January 20, 1995 (60 FR 4203).

Expiration date of individual notice: February 21, 1995.

Local Public Document Room location: White Plains Public Library, 100 Martine Avenue, White Plains, New York 10610.

Notice of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses

During the period since publication of the last biweekly notice, the Commission has issued the following amendments. The Commission has determined for each of these

amendments that the application complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment.

Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for A Hearing in connection with these actions was published in the **Federal Register** as indicated.

Unless otherwise indicated, the Commission has determined that these amendments satisfy the criteria for categorical exclusion in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared for these amendments. If the Commission has prepared an environmental assessment under the special circumstances provision in 10 CFR 51.12(b) and has made a determination based on that assessment, it is so indicated.

For further details with respect to the action see (1) the applications for amendment, (2) the amendment, and (3) the Commission's related letter, Safety Evaluation and/or Environmental Assessment as indicated. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document rooms for the particular facilities involved.

Arizona Public Service Company, et al., Docket Nos. STN 50-528, STN 50-529, and STN 50-530, Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1, 2, and 3, Maricopa County, Arizona

Date of application for amendments: November 30, 1994.

Brief description of amendments: These amendments relocate Table 3.3-2, "Reactor Protective Instrumentation Response Times," and Table 3.3-5, "Engineered Safety Features Response Times," of TS 3/4.3.1 and 3/4.3.2, respectively, to the Palo Verde Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) in accordance with the guidance provided in Generic Letter 93-08. In addition, the amendments make administrative changes to two previous TS amendment requests to maintain consistency with the deletion of Tables 3.3-2 and 3.3-5. The amendments also delete an obsolete footnote on page 3/4 3-17 of the Palo Verde Unit 2's TS.