

compensate for developed looseness between the component parts of a fastened assembly; (2) distribute the load over a larger area for screws or bolts; and (3) provide a hardened bearing surface. The scope does not include internal or external tooth washers, nor does it include spring lock washers made of other metals, such as copper. HSLWs are included under item number 7318.21.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope is dispositive. SIP requests that the Secretary monitor imports of these products from Russia and Mexico, alleging that circumstances which allow the Department to monitor imports currently exist. Under section 732(a)(2) of the Act, the requirements for the Secretary to monitor imports are: (a) more than one antidumping duty order for the same class or kind of merchandise must be in effect; (b) the Department must have a reason to believe or suspect that there exists an extraordinary pattern of persistent injurious dumping with respect to shipments from one or more additional supplier countries; and (c) this extraordinary pattern of persistent injurious dumping is causing a serious commercial problem for the domestic industry.

COMMENTS: Interested parties wishing to comment upon this request must send written comments not later than March 17, 1995. Comments should be sent to the Secretary of Commerce, Attention: Import Administration, Central Records Unit, Room B-099, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20230. Interested parties may file replies to any comments submitted. All replies must be filed not later than seven days after March 17, 1995. Any interested parties submitting business proprietary information must do so in accordance with section 353.32(b) of the Department's regulations and submit a public version or summary of that information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Helen Kramer or Holly Vineyard, Office of Agreements Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 7866, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone (202) 482-3793 or telefax (202) 482-1388.

Dated: February 8, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-3777 Filed 2-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-427-098]

Anhydrous Sodium Metasilicate From France; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On October 20, 1994, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on anhydrous sodium metasilicate (ASM) from France. This review covers Rhone Poulenc Chime de Base (Rhone Poulenc), a manufacturer/exporter of this merchandise to the United States, and the period January 1, 1993, through December 31, 1993. The firm failed to submit a timely response to our questionnaire. As a result, we have used best information available (BIA) for cash deposit and appraisal purposes. We did not receive any comments on our preliminary results of review. Therefore, the final results are unchanged from those presented in the preliminary results of review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 15, 1995.

The review covers Rhone Poulenc and the period January 1, 1993 through December 31, 1993.

Use of Best Information Available

We mailed the antidumping questionnaire to Rhone Poulenc, a manufacturer/exporter of this merchandise to the United States. Rhone Poulenc failed to submit a timely response to our questionnaire. The Department has therefore decided to use BIA in determining the rate for this firm.

When a company fails to provide the information requested in a timely manner, or otherwise significantly impedes the Department's review, the Department considers the company uncooperative and generally assigns to that company the higher of: (a) The highest rate assigned to any company in the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation or in prior administrative reviews, or (b) the highest rate found in the current review for any firm. See 19 CFR 353.37(b) and Final Results of

Administrative Review, Antifriction Bearings (other than Tapered Roller Bearings) from France (58 FR 39729, 39739, July 26, 1993). In this case, as BIA, we used 60 percent, the highest rate from a prior review. See Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Anhydrous Sodium Metasilicate from France (52 FR 33856, September 8, 1987).

Final Results of the Review

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. We received no comments. Therefore, the final results are unchanged from those presented in the preliminary results of review. We determine that a margin of 60.00 percent exists for Rhone Poulenc during the period January 1, 1993, through December 31, 1993.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results of this administrative review, for all shipments of ASM from France, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be 60 percent; (2) for exporters not covered in this review, but covered in previous reviews or the original LTFV investigation, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, previous reviews, or the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be that established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers and exporters will be 60 percent.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.