

years, pursuant to Article IV, Section 4.1 of Rate Schedule FERC Nos. 264 and 183. Revised Exhibits C set forth KEPCo's Nominated Capacities for the Points of Interconnection, pursuant to Article IV, Section 4.1 of Rate Schedule FERC Nos. 264 and 183. Revised Exhibits D set forth KEPCo's load forecast and KEPCo's Capacity Resources intended to provide power and energy to meet the forecast requirement for ten years into the future, pursuant to Article V, Section 5.1 of Rate Schedule FERC Nos. 264 and 183.

Copies of the filing were served upon Kansas Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. and the Kansas Corporation Commission.

*Comment date:* May 3, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 7. Louisville Gas and Electric Co.

[Docket No. ER95-879-000]

Take notice that on April 3, 1995, Louisville Gas and Electric Company tendered for filing a copy of a service agreement between Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Rainbow Energy Marketing Corp. under Rate GSS.

Notice is also given that the service agreement listed below and filed with the Commission by Louisville Gas and Electric Company is to be cancelled.

Date of agreement	Purchaser	Cancellation date	Cancellation effective
3/28/95	Rainbow Energy Marketing Corp.	3/28/95	3/28/95

*Comment date:* May 3, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 8. Arkansas Power & Light Co.

[Docket No. FA89-28-005]

Take notice that on April 10, 1995, Arkansas Power & Light Company tendered for filing its refund report in the above-referenced docket.

*Comment date:* May 3, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the

Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-10217 Filed 4-25-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP95-311-000, et al.]

### East Tennessee Natural Gas Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

April 18, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

#### 1. East Tennessee Natural Gas Co.

[Docket No. CP95-311-000]

Take notice that on April 7, 1995, East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (East Tennessee), P.O. Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77252, filed in Docket No. CP95-311-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to modify an existing meter station in Roane County, Tennessee under East Tennessee's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-412-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

East Tennessee proposes to replace an obsolete 6-inch orifice meter tube with a 4-inch tube at the existing Harriman meter station, #75-9032, at M.P. 3110-1+8.40 (S.V. 3110B-101) on the 3100-1 Line. This tube replacement will allow the meter station to better match actual volumes being delivered. The installation will not be extensive and will cause minimal disturbance since the replacement tube dimensionally fits the existing piping arrangement. Total capacity at the meter station will be approximately equivalent to current levels due to the reduced operating condition of the existing 6-inch tube. The meter station will still be owned, operated and maintained by East Tennessee. The estimated cost is \$39,100 to be funded from the appropriate division's minor capital

budget. The firm service customer at the meter station is the City of Harriman, a municipal utility company that supplies residential customers. The tube replacement won't affect any shipper's contract entitlement.

After modification, East Tennessee states there won't be an increase in the delivery quantity under the firm agreement between it and the City of Harriman; delivery of volumes through the meter station won't impact peak day and annual deliveries; the proposed activity isn't prohibited by its existing tariff, and there is sufficient capacity for deliveries without detriment or disadvantage to other customers.

*Comment date:* June 2, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

### 2. Florida Gas Transmission Co.

[Docket No. CP95-316-000]

Take notice that on April 11, 1995, Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGT), 1400 Smith Street, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP95-316-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.216) for permission and approval to abandon a small portion of an existing lateral line. FGT makes such request, under its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-553-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

FGT is proposing to abandon approximately 6,500 feet of its 6-inch East Mustang Island Lateral, at an estimated cost of \$117,476. Specifically, FGT is proposing to abandon a 6,400 foot segment of line in place, 4,500 foot of which is owned by a development corporation, and 1,900 foot of which is located under a road and the adjacent right-of-way; the remaining 100 foot segment which crosses a small boat channel will be removed. It is stated that the portion of line that FGT is proposing to abandon is located in the areas of East Mustang Island and Laguna Madre, Nueces County, Texas. FGT states that the abandonment is necessary due to the encroachment of a residential housing development. FGT further states that it is proposing to abandon that segment of line rather than relocating it, because the relocation of that segment of line would be costly and would disturb an environmentally sensitive area.

FGT also states that service to its two customers located downstream of the proposed abandonment, Gulfside Industries, Ltd. and Valero Industrial