

welfare agencies and has responsibility to enforce compliance with the laws authorizing this assistance.

Private, as well as public, adoption and foster care agencies often receive Federal financial assistance, through State Block Grant programs, programs under Title IV-E of the Social Security Act, and discretionary grants. The assistance may reach an agency directly, or indirectly as a subrecipient of other agencies. Receipt of such assistance obligates recipients to comply with Title VI and other civil rights laws and regulations and with the requirements of the Social Security Act. Further, the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 confers jurisdiction over entities any part of which receive any Federal funds.

This guidance is being issued jointly by ACF and OCR, pursuant to Section 553(a) of MEPA, to enable affected agencies to conform their laws, rules, and practices to the requirements of the Multiethnic Placement Act and Title VI.

Discussion

A. Race, Culture, or Ethnicity as a Factor in Selecting Placements

1. Impermissible Activities

In enacting MEPA, Congress was concerned that many children, in particular those from minority groups, were spending lengthy periods of time in foster care awaiting placement in adoptive homes.¹ At present, there are over twenty thousand children who are legally free for adoption but who are not in preadoptive homes. While there is no definitive study indicating how long children who are adoptable must wait until placement, the available data indicate the average wait may be as long as two years after the time that a child is legally free for adoption, and that minority children spend, on average, twice as long as non-minority children before they are placed. Both the number of children needing placements and the length of time they await placement increase substantially when those children awaiting termination of parental rights are taken into account.

MEPA reflects Congress' judgment that children are harmed when placements are delayed for a period longer than is necessary to find qualified families. The legislation seeks to eliminate barriers that delay or prevent the placement of children into qualified homes. In particular, it focuses on the possibility that policies with respect to matching children with

families of the same race, culture, or ethnicity may result in delaying, or even preventing, the adoption of children by qualified families. It also is designed to ensure that every effort is made to develop a large and diverse pool of potential foster and adoptive families, so that all children can be quickly placed in homes that meet their needs.

In developing this guidance, the department recognizes that states seek to achieve a variety of goals when making foster or adoptive placements. For example, in making a foster care placement, agencies generally are concerned with finding a home that the child can easily fit into, that minimizes the number of adjustments that the child, already facing a difficult situation, must face, and that is capable of meeting any special physical, psychological, or educational needs of the child. In making adoption placements, agencies seek to find homes that will maximize the current and future well-being of the child. They evaluate whether the particular prospective parents are equipped to raise the child, both in terms of their capacity and interests to meet the individual needs of the particular child, and the capacity of the child to benefit from membership in a particular family.

Among the factors that many state statutes, regulations, or policy manuals now specify as being relevant to placement decisions are the racial, ethnic, and cultural background of the child. Some states specify an order of preference for placements, which make placement in a family of the same race, culture, or ethnicity as the child a preferred category. Some states prescribe set periods of time in which agencies must try to place a child with a family of the same race, culture, or ethnicity before the children can be placed with a family of a different race, culture, or ethnicity. Some states have a general preference for same race or ethnicity placements, although they do not specify a placement order or a search period. And some states indicate that children should be placed with families of the same race or ethnicity provided that this is consistent with the best interests of the child.

Establishing standards for making foster care and adoption placement decisions, and determining the factors that are relevant in deciding whether a particular placement meets the standards, generally are matters of state law and policy. Agencies which receive Federal assistance, however, may use race, culture, or ethnicity as factors in making placement decisions only insofar as the Constitution, MEPA, and Title VI permit.

In the context of child placement decisions, the United States Constitution and Title VI forbid decision making on the basis of race or ethnicity unless the consideration advances a compelling governmental interest. The only compelling governmental interest, in this context, is protecting the "best interests" of the child who is to be placed. Moreover, the consideration must be narrowly tailored to advancing the child's interests and must be made as an individualized determination for each child. An adoption agency may take race into account only if it has made an individualized determination that the facts and circumstances of the specific case require the consideration of race in order to advance the best interests of the specific child. Any placement policy that takes race or ethnicity into account is subject to strict scrutiny by the courts to determine whether it satisfies these tests. *Palmore v. Sidoti*, 466 U.S. 429 (1984).

A number of practices currently followed by some agencies clearly violate MEPA or Title VI. These include statutes or policies that:

- Establish time periods during which only a same race/ethnicity search will occur;
- Establish orders of placement preferences based on race, culture, or ethnicity;
- Require caseworkers to specially justify transracial placements; or
- Otherwise have the effect of delaying placements, either before or after termination of parental rights, in order to find a family of a particular race, culture, or ethnicity.

Other rules, policies, or practices that do not meet the constitutional strict scrutiny test would also be illegal.

2. Permissible Considerations

MEPA does specifically allow, but not require, agencies to consider "the child's cultural, ethnic, and racial background and the capacity of prospective foster or adoptive parents to meet the needs of a child of this background" as one of the factors in determining whether a particular placement is in a child's best interests.

When an agency chooses to use this factor, it must be on an individualized basis. Agencies that provide professional adoption services usually involve prospective parents in an educative family assessment process designed to increase the likelihood of successful placements. This process includes providing potential adoptive parents with an understanding of the special needs of adoptive children, such as how children react to separation and

¹ MEPA applies to decisions regarding both foster care and adoption placements. In discussions regarding the bill, members of Congress focused primarily on problems related to adoption decisions.