

the respondents insistence that the merchandise are slewing rings and therefore fall outside the scope of the orders. Kaydon argues that if the Department concludes that these products are bearings, not slewing rings, and if respondent made sales of these products during the POR, the Department should consider Hoesch and Rollix's responses as inadequate and should seek further information regarding the merchandise sold by these respondents during the POR.

Hoesch and Rollix believe that Kaydon's request is not appropriate. Respondents claim that a scope determination rather than an administrative review is the proper context for considering scope issues. According to the respondents any scope questions Kaydon had with respect to the merchandise in question should have been raised within the context of a scope determination request. Therefore, respondents claim that Hoesch and Rotek's (a related affiliate in the United States) filing of its own scope determination request preclude consideration of the same issues in these final results. Furthermore respondents claim that the evidence Kaydon presented to support its allegations fails to justify any investigation by the Department of unreported sales.

Department's Position: We have confirmed through the U.S. Customs service that neither Hoesch nor Rollix have entered subject merchandise into the U.S. market during the POR. Furthermore, there is no information on the record to support Kaydon's assertion that these respondents, or related affiliates in the United States, have made sales of subject merchandise during the POR. Finally, we agree with respondents that a scope determination rather than an administrative review is the proper context for considering scope issues. Therefore, we will address the scope issues raised by Kaydon through the process of a scope inquiry which has been requested by both Kaydon and Hoesch.

[FR Doc. 95-4615 Filed 2-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-475-801]

Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From Italy; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews and Revocation in Part of an Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Import Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative reviews and revocation in part of an antidumping duty order.

SUMMARY: On February 28, 1994, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on antifriction bearings (other than tapered roller bearings) and parts thereof (AFBs) from Italy. The classes or kinds of merchandise covered by these reviews are ball bearings and parts thereof and cylindrical roller bearings and parts thereof. The reviews cover three manufacturers/exporters. The review period is May 1, 1992, through April 30, 1993.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes, including corrections of certain inadvertent programming and clerical errors, in the margin calculations. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margins for the reviewed firms for each class or kind of merchandise are listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

The Department also is revoking the antidumping duty order on cylindrical roller bearings from Italy with respect to SKF.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 28, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The appropriate case analyst, for the various respondent firms listed below, at the Office of Antidumping Compliance, International Trade Administration, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4733. Charles Riggle (Meter), Jacqueline Arrowsmith (SKF), Michael Rausher (FAG), or Michael Rill.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 28, 1994, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of its administrative

reviews of the antidumping duty orders on antifriction bearings (other than tapered roller bearings) and parts thereof (AFBs) from Italy (59 FR 9463). We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results.

At the request of certain interested parties, we held a public hearing on general issues pertaining to the reviews of the orders covering AFBs from all countries on March 28, 1994.

Revocation In Part

In accordance with § 353.25(a)(2) of the Department's regulations (19 CFR 353.25(a)(2)), the Department is revoking the antidumping duty order covering cylindrical roller bearings from Italy with respect to SKF.

SKF has submitted, in accordance with 19 CFR 353.25(b), a request for revocation of the order with respect to its sales of the merchandise in question. SKF has also demonstrated three consecutive years of sales at not less than foreign market value (FMV) and has submitted the required certifications. It has agreed in writing to its immediate reinstatement in the order, as long as any producer or reseller is subject to the order, if the Department concludes under 19 CFR 353.22(f) that the firm, subsequent to the revocation, sold the merchandise at less than FMV. Furthermore, it is not likely that SKF will sell the subject merchandise at less than FMV in the future. Therefore, the Department is revoking the order on cylindrical roller bearings from Italy with respect to SKF.

Scope of Reviews

The products covered by these reviews are AFBs and constitute the following "classes or kinds" of merchandise: ball bearings and parts thereof (BBs) and cylindrical roller bearings and parts thereof (CRBs). For a detailed description of the products covered under these classes or kinds of merchandise, including a compilation of all pertinent scope determinations, see the "Scope Appendix" to "Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof from France, Germany, Japan, Singapore, Sweden, Thailand, and the United Kingdom; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, Partial Termination of Administrative Reviews, and Revocation in Part of Antidumping Duty Orders," which is published in this issue of the **Federal Register**.